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## Chapter 1

## Introduction to Energy Management

Problem: For your university or organization, list some energy management projects that might be good "first ones," or early selections.

Solution: Early projects should have a rapid payback, a high probability of success, and few negative consequences (increasing/decreasing the air-conditioning/heat, or reducing lighting levels).

Examples:
Switching to a more efficient light source (especially in conditioned areas where one not only saves with the reduced power consumption of the lamps but also from reduced refrigeration or air-conditioning load).

Repairing steam leaks. Small steam leaks become large leaks over time.

Insulating hot fluid pipes and tanks.
Install high efficiency motors.
And many more

Problem: Again for your university or organization, assume you are starting a program and are defining goals. What are some potential first-year goals?

Solution: Goals should be tough but achievable, measurable, and specific.

## Examples:

Total energy per unit of production will drop by 10 percent for the first six months and an additional 5 percent the second half of the year.

Within 2 years all energy consumers of 5 million kilojoules per hour ( $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{h}$ ) or larger will be separately metered for monitoring purposes.

Each plant in the division will have an active energy management program by the end of the first year.

All plants will have contingency plans for gas curtailments of varying duration by the end of the first year.

All boilers of $25,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ or larger will be examined for waste heat recovery potential the first year.

Problem: If you were a member of the upper level management in charge of implementing an energy management program at your university or organization, what actions would you take to reward participating individuals and to reinforce commitment to energy management?

Solution: The following actions should be taken to reward individuals and reinforce commitment to energy management:

Develop goals and a way of tracking their progress.
Develop an energy accounting system with a performance measure such as $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ or $\mathrm{kJ} /$ unit.

Assign energy costs to a cost center, profit center, an investment center or some other department that has an individual responsibility for cost or profit.

Reward (with a monetary bonus) all employees who control cost or profit relative to the level of cost or profit. At the risk of being repetitive, note that the level of cost or profit should include energy costs.

Problem: Perform the following energy conversions and calculations:
a) A spherical balloon with a diameter of three meters is filled with natural gas. How much energy is contained in that quantity of natural gas?
b) How many Joules are in 550 cubic metres of natural gas? How many GJ in 2,000 litres of \#2 fuel oil?
c) An oil tanker is carrying 3,000 litres of \#2 fuel oil. If each litre of fuel oil will generate 3.3 kWh of electric energy in a power plant, how many kWh can be generated from the oil in the tanker?
d) How much hard coal is required at a power plant with a heat rate of $10 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kWh}$ to run a 6 kW electric resistance heater constantly for 1 week ( 168 hours)? (One tonne of hard coal conttains 25 GJ of heat.)
e) A large city has a population which is served by a single electric utility which burns hard coal to generate electrical energy. If there are 500,000 utility customers using an average of $12,000 \mathrm{kWh}$ per year, how many tonnes of coal must be burned in the power plants if the heat rate is $10.5 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kWh}$ ? (One tonne of hard coal contains 25 GJ of heat.)
f) Consider an electric heater with a 4,500 watt heating element. Assuming that the water heater is $98 \%$ efficient, how long will it take to heat 200 litres of water from 20 degrees C to 60 degrees C ?

## Solution:

> a) $\mathrm{V}=4 / 3(\mathrm{PI}) \mathrm{r}^{3}$
> $=4 / 3 \times 3.14 \times 1.5^{3}$
> $=14.13 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
> $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{V} \times 38.14 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ of natural gas
> $=14.13 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \times 38.14 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
> $=539 \mathrm{MJ}$
> b) $\mathrm{E}=550 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \times 38.14 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ of natural gas $\times 1,000,000$ J/MJ
> $=2.10 E+10 \mathrm{~J}$
> $\mathrm{E}=2,000 \mathrm{~L} \times 39 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\# 2$ fuel oil $\times \mathrm{GJ} / 1,000 \mathrm{MJ}$
> $=78 \mathrm{GJ}$
> c) $\mathrm{E}=3,000 \mathrm{~L} \times 3.3 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{L}$
> $=9,000 \mathrm{kWh}$
> d) $\mathrm{V}=10,000 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kWh} \times 6 \mathrm{~kW} \times(168 \mathrm{~h} / 25 \mathrm{GJ} /$ tonne hard coal) $\times(\mathrm{GJ} / 1,000 \mathrm{MJ})$
> $=0.40$ tonnes of coal
> e) $\mathrm{V}=500,000$ cus. $\times 12,000 \mathrm{kWh} /$ cus. $\times 10.5 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kWh} \times$ (1 tonne/25 GJ) $\times(\mathrm{GJ} / 1,000 \mathrm{MJ})$
> $=2,520,000$ tonnes of coal
> f) $\Delta \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{cm} \Delta \mathrm{T}$
> $=$ specific heat constant $\times$ mass $\times$ change in temperature
> $=(4.186 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{C}) \times 200 \mathrm{~L} \times\left(0.001 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{L}\right) \times\left(998 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right)$ $\times(60 \mathrm{C}-20 \mathrm{C})$
> $=33,421 \mathrm{~kJ}$
> $\mathrm{p}=4,500 \mathrm{~W} \times 1 \mathrm{~kW} / 1,000 \mathrm{~W} \times 1 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{kW}$
> $=4.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{s}$
> $\mathrm{t}=\Delta \mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{p} /$ efficiency
> $=33,421 \mathrm{~kJ} /(4.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{s}) \times(1 \mathrm{~h} / 3,600 \mathrm{~s}) / 0.98$
> $=2.11 h$

Problem: A person takes a shower for ten minutes. The water flow rate is 12 litres per minute, the temperature of the shower water is 45 degrees C. Assuming that cold water is at 16 degrees C , and that hot water from a $70 \%$ efficient gas water heater is at 60 degrees $C$, how many cubic metres of natural gas does it take to provide the hot water for the shower?

Solution: $\quad \Delta \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{cm} \Delta \mathrm{T}$ eff

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \text { specific heat constant } \times \text { mass } \times \text { change in } \\
& \text { temperature } \\
= & (4.186 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{C}) \times 10 \mathrm{~min} \times 12 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min} \times \\
& \left(0.001 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{L}\right) \times\left(998 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \times(45 \mathrm{C}-16 \mathrm{C}) / 0.7 \\
= & 20,769 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{V}=\left(20,769 \mathrm{~kJ} / 38.14 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \times \mathrm{MJ} / 1,000 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$=0.54 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of natural gas

Problem: An office building uses 1 million kWh of electric energy and 12,000 litres of $\# 2$ fuel oil per year. The building has 4,000 square metres of conditioned space. Determine the energy use index (EUI) and compare it to the average EUI of an office building.

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{elect.})= & 1,000,000 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr} . \times \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{kW} \times 3,600 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{h} \\
= & 3,600,000,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{yr} . \\
\mathrm{E}(\# 2 \text { fuel })= & 12,000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{yr} . \times 39 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{L} \times 1,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{MJ} \\
= & 468,000,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{yr} . \\
\mathrm{E}= & 4,068,000,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{yr} . \\
\mathrm{EUI}= & 4,068,000,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{yr} . / 4,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \\
= & 1,017,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr} . \text { which is } \\
& \text { less than the average office building }
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: The office building in Problem 1.6 pays $€ 65,000$ a year for electric energy and $€ 9,900$ a year for fuel oil. Determine the energy cost index (ECI) for the building and compare it to the ECI for an average building.

Solution: ECI $=(€ 65,000+€ 9,900) / 4,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ $=€ 18.73 / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$. which is greater than the average building

Problem: As a new energy manager, you have been asked to predict the energy consumption for electricity for next month (February). Assuming consumption is dependent on units produced, that 1,000 units will be produced in February, and that the following data are representative, determine your estimate for February.
Given: Month produced (kWh) (kWh/unit)

| January | 600 | 600 | 1.00 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| February | 1,500 | 1,200 | 0.80 |  |
| March | 1,000 | 800 | 0.80 |  |
| April | 800 | 1,000 | 1.25 |  |
| May | 2,000 | 1,100 | 0.55 |  |
| June | 100 | 700 | 7.00 | Vacation month |
| July | 1,300 | 1,000 | 0.77 |  |
| August | 1,700 | 1,100 | 0.65 |  |
| September | 300 | 800 | 2.67 |  |
| October | 1,400 | 900 | 0.64 |  |
| November | 1,100 | 900 | 0.82 |  |
| December | 200 | 650 | 3.25 | 1-week shutdown |
| January | 1,900 | 1,200 | 0.63 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Solution: First, since June and December have special circumstances, we ignore these months. We then run a regression to find the slope and intercept of the process model. We assume that with the exception of the vacation and the shutdown that nothing other then the number of units produced affects the energy used. Another method of solving this problem may assume that the weather and temperature changes also affect the energy use.

| Units <br> Month | Consumption <br> produced | Average <br> $(\mathrm{kWh})$ | $(\mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{unit})$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| January | 600 | 600 | 1.00 |
| February | 1,500 | 1,200 | 0.80 |
| March | 1,000 | 800 | 0.80 |
| April | 800 | 1,000 | 1.25 |
| May | 2,000 | 1,100 | 0.55 |
| July | 1,300 | 1,000 | 0.77 |
| August | 1,700 | 1,100 | 0.65 |
| September | 300 | 800 | 2.67 |
| October | 1,400 | 900 | 0.64 |
| November | 1,100 | 900 | 0.82 |
| January | 1,900 | 1,200 | 0.63 |

From the ANOVA table, we see that if this process is modeled linearly the equation describing this is as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{kWh}(1,000 \text { units }) & =623+0.28 \times \mathrm{kWh} / \text { unit produced } \\
& =899 \mathrm{kWh}
\end{aligned}
$$




## SUMMARY OUTPUT

Regression Statistics

| Multiple R | 0.795822426 |
| :--- | :--- |
| R Square | 0.633333333 |
| Adjusted R Square | 0.592592593 |
| Standard Effort | 118.6342028 |

Observations 11

ANOVA

|  | $d f$ | SS | MS | $F$ | Significance $F$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regression | 1 | 218787.9788 | 218787.9 | 15.54545 | 0.00339167 |
| Residual | 9 | 126666.6667 | 14074.07 |  |  |
| Total | 10 | 345454.5455 |  |  |  |


|  | Coefficients | Standard Error | $t$ Stat | P-value | Lower 95\% | Upper 95\% | Lower 95. 0\% | Upper 95.0\% |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Intercept | 623.1884058 | 93.46296795 | 6.667759 | $9.19 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 411.7603222 | 834.616489 | 411.760322 | 834.6164893 |
| X Variable 1 | 0,275362319 | 0.06993977 | 3.942772 | 0.003392 | 0,117373664 | 0.43335097 | 0.11737366 | 0.433350974 |

Problem: For the same data as given in Problem 1.8, what is the fixed energy consumption (at zero production, how much energy is consumed and for what is that energy used)?

Solution: By looking at the regression run for problem 1.8 (see ANOVA table), we can see the intercept for the process in question. This intercept is probably the best estimate of the fixed energy consumption:

## 623 kWh .

This energy is probably used for space conditioning and security lights.

Problem: Determine the cost of fuel switching, assuming there were 1,000 cooling degree days (CDD) and 1,000 units produced in each year.

Given: At the Gator Products Company, fuel switching caused an increase in electric consumption as follows:

|  | Expected <br> energy <br> consumption | Actual energy <br> consumption <br> after <br> switching fuel |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Electric/CDD | 75 GJ | 80 GJ |
| Electric/units of <br> production | 100 GJ | 115 GJ |

The base year cost of electricity is $€ 30$ per GJ, while this year's cost is €35 per million GJ

Solution: Cost variance $=€ 35 / \mathrm{GJ}-€ 30 / \mathrm{GJ}$

$$
=€ 5 / \mathrm{GJ}
$$

Increase cost due to cost variance
$=$ Cost variance $\times$ Total Actual Energy Use
$=(€ 5 / \mathrm{GJ}) \times((80 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{CDD}) \times(1,000 \mathrm{CDDs})+$ (115 GJ/unit) $\times(1,000$ units) $)$
= €975,000
CDD electric variance
$=1,000 \mathrm{CDD} \times(80-75) \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{CDD}$
$=5,000 \mathrm{GJ}$

Units electric variance
$=1,000$ units $\times(115-100) \mathrm{GJ} /$ unit
$=15,000 \mathrm{GJ}$

Increase in energy use
$=$ CDD electric variance + Units electric variance
$=5,000 \mathrm{GJ}+15,000 \mathrm{GJ}$
$=20,000 \mathrm{GJ}$

Increase cost due to increased energy use
$=$ Increase in energy use $\times$ Base cost of electricity
$=20,000 \mathrm{GJ} \times € 30 / \mathrm{GJ}$
$=€ 600,000$

Total cost of fuel switching
= Increase cost due to increased energy use

+ Increased cost due to cost variance
$=€ 600,000+€ 975,000$
$=€ 1,575,000$


## Chapter 2

## The Energy Audit Process: An Overview

Problem: Compute the number of heating degree days (HDD) associated with the following weather data.

|  |  | Tempera- <br> ture | 18C -Tem- <br> Number <br> perature | Hours |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Given: | Time Period | (degrees C) <br> of hours | (degrees C) | $\times$ dT |

Solution: From the added columns in the given table, we see that the number of hours times the temperature difference from 18 degrees C is 571 C-hours. Therefore, the number of HDD can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{HDD} & =571 \mathrm{C} \text {-hours } / 24 \mathrm{~h} / \text { day } \\
& =23.79 \text { degree-days }
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: Select a specific type of manufacturing plant and describe the kinds of equipment that would likely be found in such a plant.
List the audit data that would need to be collected for each piece of equipment.
What particular safety aspects should be considered when touring the plant?
Would any special safety equipment or protection be required?

Solution: The following equipment could be found in a wide variety of manufacturing facilities:

```
    Equipment Audit data
    Heaters Power rating
            Use characteristics (annual use, used in conjunction
                with what other equipment, how is the equipment
                    used?)
    Boilers Power rating
            Use characteristics
            Fuel used
            Air-to-fuel ratio
            Percent excess air
Air-conditioners Power rating
    Chillers Efficiency
    Refrigeration Cooling capacity
            Use characteristics
            Motors Power rating
            Efficiency
            Use characteristics
            Lighting Power rating
            Use characteristics
Air-compressors Power rating
            Use characteristics
            Efficiency
            Various air pressures
            An assessment of leaks
```

Specific process equipment for example for a metal furniture plant one may find some sort of electric arc welders for which one would collect its power rating and use characteristics.

The following include a basic list of some of the safety precautions that may be required and any safety equipment needed:

## Safety precaution

Safety equipment
As a general rule of thumb the auditor should never touch any-thing-just collect data. If a measurement needs to be taken or equipment manipulated, ask the operator.

Beware of rotating machinery
Beware of hot machinery/pipes Asbestos gloves
Beware of live circuits
Electrical gloves
Have a trained electrician take any electrical measurements
Avoid working on live circuits, if possible.
Securely lock and tag circuits and switches in the off/open position before working on a piece of equipment.
Always keep one hand in your pocket while making measurements on live circuits to help prevent accidental electrical shocks.
When necessary, wear a full face respirator mask with adequate filtration particle size.
Use activated carbon cartridges in the mask when working around low concentrations of noxious gases. Change cartridges on a regular basis.
Use a self-contained breathing apparatus for work in toxic environments.
Use foam insert plugs while working around loud machinery to reduce sound levels by nearly 30 decibels (in louder environments hearing protection rated at higher noise levels may be required)

> Always ask the facility contact about special safety precautions or equipment needed. Additional information can be found in OSHA literature.

For our metal furniture plant:
Avoid looking directly Tinted safety goggles at the arc of the welders

Problem: Section 2.1.2 of the Guide to Energy Management provided a list of energy audit equipment that should be used. However, this list only specified the major items that might be needed. In addition, there are a number of smaller items such as hand tools that should also be carried. Make a list of these other items, and give an example of the need for each item.

How can these smaller items be conveniently carried to the audit?

Will any of these items require periodic maintenance or repair?

If so, how would you recommend that an audit team keep track of the need for this attention to the operating condition of the audit equipment?

Solution: Smaller useful audit equipment may include:
A flashlight
Extra batteries
A hand-held tachometer
A clamp-on ammeter
Recording devices
These smaller items can be conveniently carried in a tool box.

As with most equipment, these items will require periodic maintenance. For example, the flashlight batteries and light bulbs will have to be changed.

For these smaller items, one could probably just include the periodic maintenance as part of a pre-audit checklist. For items that require more than just cursory maintenance, one could include the item in their periodic maintenance system.

Problem: Section 2.2 of the Guide to Energy Management discussed the point of making an inspection visit to a facility at several different times to get information on when certain pieces of equipment need to be turned on and when they are unneeded. Using your school classroom or office building as a specific example, list some of the unnecessary uses of lights, air conditioners, and other pieces of equipment. How would you recommend that some of these uses that are not necessary be avoided? Should a person be given the responsibility of checking for this unneeded use? What kind of automated equipment could be used to eliminate or reduce this unneeded use?

Solution: Typically, one could visit a university at night and observe that the lights of classrooms are on even at midnight when no one is using the area. One idea would be to make the security force responsible for turning off non-security lights when they make their security tours at night. A better idea may be to install occupancy sensors so that the lights are on only when the area is in use. An additional benefit of occupancy sensors could be security; many thieves or vandals would be startled when lights come on.

Problem: An outlying building has a 25 kW company-owned transformer that is connected all the time. A call to a local electrical contractor indicates that the core losses from comparable transformers are approximately $3 \%$ of rated capacity. Assume that the electrical costs are ten cents per kWh and $€ 10 / \mathrm{kW} /$ month of peak demand, that the average building use is ten hours/month, and that the average month has 720 hours. Estimate the annual cost savings from installing a switch that would energize the transformer only when the building was being used.

Given: Transformer power use

| 25 | kW |
| :---: | :---: |
| $3 \%$ | $/ \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| €0.10 | $/ \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| $€ 10.00$ | $/ \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{month}$ |
| 10 | $\mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{mo}$ |
| 720 | $\mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{mo}$ |
| 12 | $\mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr}$ |

Solution: The energy savings (ES) from installing a switch that would energize the transformer only when the building was being used can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ES}= & (\text { Percentage of core losses)(Transformer power } \\
& \text { use)(Hours in a month }- \text { Building utilization) } \\
& (\text { Months in a year) } \\
= & 3 \% \times 25 \mathrm{~kW} \times(720-10) \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{mo} \times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr} \\
= & 6,390 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we do not expect the monthly peak demand to be reduced by installing this switch, the only savings will come from energy savings. Therefore, annual savings (AS) can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { AS } & =\mathrm{ES} \times \text { Electrical energy cost } \\
& =6,390 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.10 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
& =€ 639 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chapter 3

## Understanding Energy Bill

Problem: By periodically turning off a fan, what is the total euro savings per year to the company?

Given: In working with Ajax Manufacturing Company, you find six large exhaust fans are running constantly to exhaust general plant air (not localized heavy pollution). They are each powered by $25-\mathrm{kW}$ electric motors with loads of 27 kW each. You find they can be turned off periodically with no adverse effects. You place them on a central timer so that each one is turned off for 10 minutes each hour. At any time, one of the fans is off, and the other five are running. The fans operate $10 \mathrm{~h} /$ day, 250 days/year. Assume the company is on the rate schedule given in Figure 3-10. Neglect any ratchet clauses. The company is on service level 3 (distribution service). (There may be significant HVAC savings since conditioned air is being exhausted, but ignore that for now.)

Solution: Demand charge
On-peak $€ 12.22 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo}$ June-October 5 months/year
Off-peak $€ 4.45 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo} \quad$ November-May 7 months/year

## Energy charge

For first two million $\mathrm{kWh} € 0.03431 / \mathrm{kWh}$
All kWh over two million $€ 0.03010 / \mathrm{kWh}$

## Assumptions (and possible explanations)

Assume the company uses well over two million kWh per month.
The fuel cost adjustment is zero, since the utility's fuel cost is at the base rate.
There is no sales tax since the energy can be assumed to be used for production.

The power factor is greater than 0.8
No franchise fees since the company is outside any municipality

The demand savings (DS) can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{DS}= & {[(\mathrm{DC} \text { on peak }) \times(\mathrm{N} \text { on peak })+(\mathrm{DC} \text { off peak }) \times} \\
& (\mathrm{N} \text { off peak })] \times \mathrm{DR}
\end{aligned}
$$

where,
$\mathrm{DC}=$ Demand charge for specified period
$\mathrm{N}=$ Number of months in a specified period
$\mathrm{DR}=$ Demand reduction, 27 kW since a motor using this amount is always turned off with the new policy
Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{DS}= & {[(€ 12.22 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo}) \times(5 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr})+(€ 4.45 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo})} \\
& \times(7 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr})] \times 27 \mathrm{~kW}=€ 2,490.75 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

The energy savings (ES) can be calculated as follows:

$$
E S=(E C>2 \text { million }) \times(10 \mathrm{~h} / \text { day }) \times(250 \text { day } / \mathrm{yr}) \times \mathrm{DR}
$$

where
EC = Marginal energy charge

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ES}= & (€ 0.03010 / \mathrm{kWh}) \times(10 \mathrm{~h} / \text { day }) \times(250 \text { day } / \mathrm{yr}) \\
& \times 27 \mathrm{~kW} \\
= & € 2,031.75 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the total annual savings (TS) can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{TS} & =\mathrm{DS}+\mathrm{ES} \\
& =€ 4,522.50 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Additional Considerations

How much would these timers cost?
How much would it cost to install these timers? Or an alternate control system?
Does cycling these fans on and off cause the life of the fan motors to decrease?
What would the simple payback period be?
Net present value?
Internal rate of return?

Problem: What is the euro savings for reducing demand by 100 kW in the off-peak season?

If the demand reduction of 100 kW occurred in the peak season, what would be the euro savings (that is, the demand in June through October would be reduced by 100 kW )?

Given: A large manufacturing company is on the rate schedule shown in Figure 3-10 (service level 5, secondary service). Their peak demand history for last year is shown below. Assume they are on the $65 \%$ ratchet clause specified in Figure 3-10. Assume the high month was July of the previous year at $1,150 \mathrm{~kW}$.

| Month | Demand (kW) | Month | Demand |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan | 495 | Jul | 1100 |
| Feb | 550 | Aug | 1000 |
| Mar | 580 | Sep | 900 |
| Apr | 600 | Oct | 600 |
| May | 610 | Nov | 500 |
| Jun | 900 | Dec | 515 |

Note italics indicates on-peak season
Solution: Demand charge
On-peak € $13.27 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo}$ June-October 5 months/year
Off-peak €4.82/kW/mo November-May 7 months/year
Ratchet clause
Dpeak $=\max$ (actual demand corrected for $\mathrm{pf}, 65 \%$ of the highest on-peak season demand corrected for pf)

## Assumptions (and possible explanations)

Assume the company uses well over two million kWh per month.
The fuel cost adjustment is zero, since the utility's fuel cost is at the base rate.

There is no sales tax since the energy can be assumed to be used for production.
The power factor is greater than 0.8.
No franchise fees since the company is outside any municipality.

Estimated next year with a 100 kW decrease in the off-peak season
Month Demand (kW) Ratchet Euro savings

| Jan | 395 | 747.5 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Feb | 450 | 747.5 | 0 |
| Mar | 480 | 747.5 | 0 |
| Apr | 500 | 747.5 | 0 |
| May | 510 | 747.5 | 0 |
| Jun | 900 | 747.5 | 0 |
| Jul | 1100 | 715 | 0 |
| Aug | 1000 | 715 | 0 |
| Sep | 900 | 715 | 0 |
| Oct | 600 | 715 | 0 |
| Nov | 400 | 715 | 0 |
| Dec | 415 | 715 | $\mathbf{0}$ |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{0}$ |

Therefore, you would not save any money by reducing the peak demand in the off-season. This non-savings is due to the ratchet and the degree of unevenness of demand.

Estimated next year with a 100 kW decrease in the on-peak season

| Month | Demand (kW) | Ratchet | Euro savings |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan | 495 | 747.5 | 0 |
| Feb | 550 | 747.5 | 0 |
| Mar | 580 | 747.5 | 0 |
| Apr | 600 | 747.5 | 0 |
| May | 610 | 747.5 | 0 |
| Jun | 800 | 747.5 | $€ 1,327$ |
| Jul | 1000 | 650 | $€ 1,327$ |
| Aug | 900 | 650 | $€ 1,327$ |
| Sep | 800 | 650 | $€ 1,327$ |
| Oct | 500 | 650 | $€ 863$ |
| Nov | 500 | 650 | $€ 313$ |
| Dec | 515 | 650 | $€ 313$ |

The first year they would save: €6,797

Every year after that they would save the following:
Savings $=65 \% \times 100 \mathrm{~kW} \times 7 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 4.82 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo}$ $+100 \mathrm{~kW} \times 5 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 13.27 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo}$ $=€ 8,828 / \mathrm{yr}$

Problem: Use the data found in Problem 3.2. How many months would be ratcheted, and how much would the ratchet cost the company above the normal billing?

Solution: Assuming that the 100 kW reduction is not made
Month Demand (kW) Ratchet Ratchet Cost

| Jan | 495 | 747.5 | $€ 1,217.05$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Feb | 550 | 747.5 | $€ 951.95$ |
| Mar | 580 | 747.5 | $€ 807.35$ |
| Apr | 600 | 747.5 | $€ 710.95$ |
| May | 610 | 747.5 | $€ 662.75$ |
| Jun | 900 | 747.5 | $€-$ |
| Jul | 1100 | 715 | $€-$ |
| Aug | 1000 | 715 | $€-$ |
| Sep | 900 | 715 | $€-$ |
| Oct | 600 | 715 | $€ 1,526.05$ |
| Nov | 500 | 715 | $€ 1,036.30$ |
| Dec | 515 | 715 | $€ 964.00$ |

8 months would be ratcheted at a cost of $€ 7,876.40$

Problem: Calculate the savings for correcting to $80 \%$ power factor? How much capacitance (in kVARs) would be necessary to obtain this correction?

Given: In working with a company, you find they have averaged $65 \%$ power factor over the past year. They are on the rate schedule shown in Figure 3-10 and have averaged 1,000 kW each month. Neglect any ratchet clause and assume their demand and power factor are constant each month. Assume they are on transmission service (level 1).

## Solution: Demand Charge

On-peak $€ 10.59 / \mathrm{kW} /$ mo June-October 5 months/year Off-peak €3.84/kW/mo November -May 7 months/year

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Billed Demand }= & \text { Actual Demand } \times(\text { base pf } / \text { actual pf }) \\
= & 1000 \mathrm{~kW} \times 0.8 / 0.65 \\
= & 1231 \mathrm{~kW} \\
\text { pf correction savings }= & 231 \mathrm{~kW} \times(5 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 10.59 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo} \\
& +7 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 3.82 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo}) \\
= & € 18,422.31 / \mathrm{yr} \\
\mathrm{pf}= & \cos (\text { theta })=0.65 \\
\text { theta }= & 0.86321189 \text { radians } \\
\mathrm{kVAR} \text { initial }= & 1000 \mathrm{~kW} \times \tan (0.86) \\
= & 1169 \mathrm{kVAR} \\
\mathrm{pf}= & \cos (\text { theta })=0.8 \\
\text { theta }= & 0.643501109 \mathrm{radians} \\
\mathrm{kVAR} \text { initial }= & 1000 \mathrm{~kW} \times \tan (0.86) \\
& 750 \mathrm{kVAR} \\
= & 419 \mathrm{kVAR}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, using a pf correction table for $0.65=>0.80$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{kVAR} & =(0.419) \times(1000 \mathrm{~kW}) \\
& =419 \mathrm{kVAR}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: How much could they save by owning their own transformers and switching to service level 1 ?

Given: $\quad A$ company has contacted you regarding their rate schedule. They are on the rate schedule shown in Figure 3-10, service level 5 (secondary service), but are near transmission lines and so can accept service at a higher level (service level 1) if they buy their own transformers. Assume they consume $300,000 \mathrm{kWh} /$ month and are billed for 1,000 kW each month. Ignore any charges other than demand and energy.

## Solution:

Service level 1 (proposed)
Demand Charge
On-peak $€ 10.59 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo}$ June-Oct. 5 months/year
Off-peak $€ 3.84 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo}$ Nov-May 7 months/year Energy Charge
For first two million $\mathrm{kWh} € 0.03257 / \mathrm{kWh}$
All kWh over two million $€ 0.02915 / \mathrm{kWh}$
Service level 5 (present)
Demand Charge
On-peak $\quad € 13.27 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo}$ June-Oct. 5 months/year Off-peak $\quad € 4.82 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo}$ Nov.-May 7 months/year

## Energy Charge

For first two million $\mathrm{kWh} € 0.03528 / \mathrm{kWh}$
All kWh over two million $€ 0.03113 / \mathrm{kWh}$
Rate savings:
Demand Charge
On-peak $\quad$ €2.68 /kW/mo June-Oct. 5 months/year

Off-peak $\quad € 0.98 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo}$ Nov.-May 7 months/year

## Energy Charge

For first two million $\mathrm{kWh} € 0.00271 / \mathrm{kWh}$
All kWh over two million $€ 0.00198 / \mathrm{kWh}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ES} & =300,000 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{mo} \times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.00271 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
& =€ 9,756 / \mathrm{yr} \\
\mathrm{DS} & =1,000 \mathrm{~kW}(€ 2.68 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo} \times 5 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr}+€ 0.98 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo} \times 7 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr}) \\
& =€ 20,260 / \mathrm{yr} \\
\mathrm{TS} & =€ 30,016 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: What is the savings from switching from priority 3 to priority 4 rate schedule?

Given: In working with a brick manufacturer, you find for gas billing that they were placed on an industrial (priority 3) schedule (see Figure 3-12) some time ago. Business and inventories are such that they could switch to a priority 4 schedule without many problems. They consume 200,000 GJ of gas per month for process needs and essentially none for heating.

## Solution:

Priority 3 (present)


Priority 4 (proposed)

| Schedule Rate | Monthly Cost (for 200,000 GJ/mo) |
| :---: | :---: |
| First 4,000 GJ/mo |  |
| or fraction thereof $€ 12,814$ | $€ 12,814.00$ |
| Next $4000 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{mo}$ ¢3.168/GJ | €12,672.00 |
| Over $8000 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{mo}$ ¢ 3.122 / GJ | $€ 599,424.00$ |
| Total present monthly cost: | €624,910.00 |
| Total present annual cost: | €7,498,920.00 |
| Annual savings from switching: | €665,374.12 |

## Additional Considerations

What if there exists a $20 \%$ probability that switching to the proposed rate schedule will disrupt production one more time a year for an hour?

Problem: Calculate the January electric bill for this customer.
Given: $\quad A$ customer has a January consumption of $140,000 \mathrm{kWh}$, a peak 15-minute demand during January of 500 kW , and a power factor of $80 \%$, under the electrical schedule of the example in Section 3.6.
Assume that the fuel adjustment is:
$€ 0.01 / \mathrm{kWh}$

| Solution: |  | Quantity | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customer charge | $€ 21.00$ / mo | 1 mo | €21 |
| Energy charge | $€ 0.04 / \mathrm{kWh}$ | 140,000 kWh | $€ 5,600$ |
| Demand charge | $€ 6.50 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo}$ | 500 kW | €3,250 |
| Taxes | 8\% |  |  |
| Fuel Adjustment | $€ 0.01 / \mathrm{kWh}$ | 140,000 kWh | €1,400 |
|  |  | sub-total | $€ 10,271$ |
|  |  | tax | $€ 822$ |
|  |  | total | €11,093 |

Problem: Compare the following residential time-of-use electric rate with the rate shown in Figure 3-6.

Given: Customer charge €8.22 /mo
Energy charge $€ 0.1230 \quad / \mathrm{kWh}$ on-peak
$€ 0.0489$ /kWh off-peak
This rate charges less for electricity used during off-peak hours-about $80 \%$ of the hours in a year-than it does for electricity used during on-peak hours.

Solution: Each of the rates has a different on-peak period. However, if we assume that no matter which rate schedule is used that $80 \%$ of the energy is used off-peak, then average cost per kWh can be calculated as follows:

```
AC = (Off-peak percentage of energy use)(Off-peak
    energy cost) +
    (1 - off-peak percentage of energy use)(On-peak
    energy cost)
```

Therefore, the average cost per kWh with the above schedule is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{AC}= & (80 \%)(€ 0.0489 / \mathrm{kWh}) \\
& +(1-80 \%)(€ 0.123 / \mathrm{kWh}) \\
= & € 0.06372 / \mathrm{kWh}
\end{aligned}
$$

And the average cost per kWh with the schedule in figure 3-6 is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{AC}= & (80 \%)(€ 0.0058 / \mathrm{kWh}) \\
& +(1-80 \%)(€ 0,10857 / \mathrm{kWh}) \\
= & € 0.02635 / \mathrm{kWh}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: What is the power factor of the combined load?
If they added a second motor that was identical to the one they are presently using, what would their power factor be?

Given: A small facility has 20 kW of incandescent lights and a $25-\mathrm{kW}$ motor load that has a power factor of $80 \%$.

Solution: The lamp:

20 kW
The motor:


Combined:


$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{kVA} & =\text { square } \operatorname{root}\left(\mathrm{kW}^{2}+\mathrm{kVAR}^{2}\right) \\
& =\text { square } \operatorname{root}\left(45^{2}+18.75^{2}\right) \\
& =48.75 \mathrm{kVA}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p f & =\mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{kVA} \\
& =45 / 48.75 \\
& =0.92
\end{aligned}
$$

Combined:


$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{kVA} & =\text { square } \operatorname{root}\left(\mathrm{kW}^{2}+\mathrm{kVAR}^{2}\right) \\
& =\text { square } \operatorname{root}\left(70^{2}+37.5^{2}\right) \\
& =79.41 \mathrm{kVA} \\
\mathrm{pf} & =\mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{kVA} \\
& =70 / 79.41 \\
& =0.88
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: For the load curve shown below for Jones Industries, what is their billing demand and how many kWh did they use in that period?

Given: A utility charges for demand based on a 30 -minute synchronous averaging period.


Solution: For the first 30 minutes: For the second 30 minutes:

| Time (minutes) | average kW | Time (minutes) | average kW |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 200 | 15 | 300 |
| 5 | 300 | 5 | 350 |
| 10 | 250 | 10 | 200 |

$5 \quad 100$
Weighted average: 216.67 kW Weighted average: 275.00 kW

Therefore, 275 kW is the billed demand.

$$
\begin{aligned}
k W h= & (216.67 \mathrm{~kW})(0.5 \text { hours })+(275 \mathrm{~kW})(0.5 \text { hours }) \\
& +(400 \mathrm{~kW})(5 \text { minutes } \times 1 \text { hour } / 60 \text { minutes }) \\
= & 279.17 \mathrm{kWh}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: Based on the hypothetical steam rate in Figure 3-13, determine their steam consumption cost for the month?

Given: $\quad$ The Al Best Company has a steam demand of $3,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ and a consumption of $160,000 \mathrm{~kg}$ during the month of January.

## Solution: Steam consumption charge

$€ 3.50 / 1000 \mathrm{~kg}$ for the first $100,000 \mathrm{lb}$ of steam per month $€ 3.00 / 1000 \mathrm{~kg}$ for the next $400,000 \mathrm{lb}$ of steam per month $€ 2.75 / 1000 \mathrm{~kg}$ for the next $500,000 \mathrm{lb}$ of steam per month $€ 2.00 / 1000 \mathrm{~kg}$ for the next $1,000,000 \mathrm{lb}$ of steam per month

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Consumption cost }= & € 3.50 / 1,000 \mathrm{~kg} \times 100,000 \mathrm{~kg} \\
& +€ 3.00 / 1,000 \mathrm{~kg} \times 60,000 \mathrm{~kg} \\
= & € 350+€ 180 \\
= & € 530
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: What is Al's cost for chilled water in July?
What was their $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{h}$ equivalent for the average chilled water demand?

Given: Al Best also purchases chilled water with the rate schedule of figure 3-13. During the month of July, their chilled water demand was

485 kW and their consumption was
250,000 kWh
Solution: Chilled water demand charge:
$€ 2,500 / \mathrm{mo}$ for the first 100 kW or any portion thereof
$€ 15 / \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{kW}$ for the next 400 kW
$€ 12 / \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{kW}$ for the next 500 kW
$€ 10 / \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{kW}$ for the next 500 kW
€9 / mo/kW for over 1500 kW
Chilled water consumption charge:
$€ 0.069 / \mathrm{kWh}$ for the first $10,000 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{mo}$
$€ 0.060 / \mathrm{kWh}$ for the next $40,000 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{mo}$
$€ 0.055 / \mathrm{kWh}$ for the next $50,000 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{mo}$
$€ 0.053 / \mathrm{kWh}$ for the next $100,000 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{mo}$
$€ 0.051 / \mathrm{kWh}$ for the next $100,000 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{mo}$
$€ 0.049 / \mathrm{kWh}$ for the next $200,000 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{mo}$
$€ 0.046 / \mathrm{kWh}$ for the next $500,000 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{mo}$
Demand cost $=€ 2,500+(€ 15 / \mathrm{kW})(385 \mathrm{~kW})$
$=€ 8,275$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrrl}
\text { Consumption cost }= & € 0.069 & \times & 10,000 & \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{mo}+ \\
& € 0.060 & \times & 40,000 & \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{mo}+ \\
& € 0.055 & \times & 50,000 & \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{mo}+ \\
& € 0.053 & \times & 100,000 & \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{mo}+ \\
& € 0.051 & \times \frac{50,000}{\mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{mo}} \\
= & € 13,690 & & \begin{array}{l}
250,000
\end{array} \\
\text { Total bill } & =€ 21,965 & &
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Average demand } & =\text { consumption } \times 3,600 \mathrm{kWh} / \text { ton } 744 \mathrm{hr} / \text { July } \\
& =250,000 \mathrm{kWh} \times 3,600 \mathrm{kWh} / \text { ton } 744 \mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{July} \\
& =1,209,677 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h} \text { in July }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Chapter 4

## Economic Analysis and Life Cycle Costing

Problem: How much can they spend on the purchase price for this project and still have a Simple Payback Period (SPP) of two years?

Using this figure as a cost, what is the return on investment (ROI), and the benefit-cost ratio (BCR)?

Given: The Orange and Blue Plastics Company is considering an energy management investment which will save $2,500 \mathrm{kWh}$ of electric energy at $€ 0.08 / \mathrm{kWh}$. Maintenance will cost $€ 50$ per year, and the company's discount rate is $12 \%$.

Solution: Annual savings $=$ annual kWh saved $\times$ electric energy cost - maintenance cost

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =2,500 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.08 / \mathrm{kWh}-€ 50 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =€ 150 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Implementation cost } & =\text { SPP } \times \text { Annual savings } \\
& =2 \mathrm{yrs} \times € 150 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =€ 300
\end{aligned}
$$

Since no life is given, assume the project continues forever. Therefore, use the highest n in the TMV tables: $\mathrm{n}=360$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P} & =\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{~N}] \\
300 & =150[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{i}, 360] \\
2 & =[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{i}, 360]
\end{aligned}
$$

From the TMV tables, we see that $\mathrm{i}=50 \%$. Therefore,

$$
R O I=50 \%
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{BCR} & =\mathrm{PV}(\text { benefits }) / \mathrm{PV} \text { (costs) } \\
\mathrm{PV} \text { (benefits) } & =\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{~N}] \\
& =€ 150 \times[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, 12 \%, 360 \mathrm{yr}] \\
& =€ 150 \times 8.3333 \\
& =€ 1,250 \\
B C R & =€ 1,250 / € 300 \\
& =4.17
\end{aligned}
$$

## If $\mathrm{N}=5$ years:

we read from the TMV tables that the factor 2 falls between $40 \%$ and $50 \%$ tables with the factors 2.0352 and 1.7366 respectively. Therefore, to find a more precise percentage we linearly interpolate:

$$
(50 \%-40 \%) /(1.7366-2.0352)(X-40 \%) /(2-2.0352)
$$

Solving for X :

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =41.2 \%=\text { ROI } \\
\text { BCR } & =\mathrm{PV}(\text { benefits) } / \mathrm{PV} \text { (costs) } \\
\text { PV (benefits) } & =\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{~N}] \\
& =€ 150[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, 12 \%, 5 \mathrm{yr}] \\
& =€ 150 \times 3.6048 \\
& =€ 541 \\
B C R & =€ 541 / € 300 \\
& =1.80
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: Which model should she buy to have the lowest total monthly payment including the loan and the utility bill?

Given: A new employee has just started to work for Orange and Blue Plastics, and she is debating whether to purchase a manufactured home or rent an apartment. After looking at apartments and manufactured homes, she decides to buy one of the manufactured homes. The standard model is the basic model that costs $€ 20,000$ and has insulation and appliances that have an expected utility cost of $€ 150$ per month. The deluxe model is the energy efficient model that has more insulation and better appliances, and it costs $€ 22,000$. However, the deluxe model has expected utility costs of only $€ 120$ /month. She can get a 10 -year loan for $10 \%$ for the entire amount of either home.

Solution: Assume the $10 \%$ is the compounded annual percentage rate.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P} & =\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{~N}] \\
& =\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, 10 \%, 10 \text { years }] \\
& =\mathrm{A}(6.1446) \\
\mathrm{A}(\text { standard }) & =€ 20,000 / 6.1446 \mathrm{yrs} \\
& =€ 3,255 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =€ 271 / \mathrm{mo} \\
\text { Monthly (standard) } & =€ 271 / \mathrm{mo}+€ 150 / \mathrm{mo} \\
& =\overline{€ 421.24 / \mathrm{mo}} \\
\mathrm{~A}(\text { standard }) & =€ \in 22,000 / 6.1446 \mathrm{yrs} \\
& =€ 3,580 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =€ 298 / \mathrm{mo} \\
\text { Monthly (deluxe) } & =€ 298 / \mathrm{mo}+€ 120 / \mathrm{mo} \\
& =€ 418.36 / \mathrm{mo}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, if she buys the deluxe, she will have a slightly lower monthly cost.

Problem: Determine the SPP, ROI, and BCR for this project:
Given: $\quad$ The Al Best Company uses a $7.5-\mathrm{kW}$ motor for 16 hours per day, 5 days per week, 50 weeks per year in its flexible work cell. This motor is $85 \%$ efficient, and it is near the end of its useful life. The company is considering buying a new high efficiency motor ( $91 \%$ efficient) to replace the old one instead of buying a standard efficiency motor ( $86.4 \%$ efficient). The high efficiency motor cost $€ 70$ more than the standard model, and should have a 15 -year life. The company pays $€ 7$ per kW per month and $€ 0.06$ per kWh . The company has set a discount rate of $10 \%$ for their use in comparing projects.

Solution: Assume the load factor (lf) is $60 \%$.
DR $=\mathrm{lf} \times \mathrm{Pm} \times((1 / \mathrm{effs})-(1 / \mathrm{effh}))$
where,
$\mathrm{DR}=$ Demand reduction
$\mathrm{Pm}=$ Power rating of the motor, 7.5 kW
effs $=$ Efficiency of the standard efficiency motor, 86.4\%
effh $=$ Efficiency of the high efficiency motor, $91 \%$
Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{DR} & =0.6 \times 7.5 \mathrm{~kW} / \mathrm{hp} \times((1 / 0.864)-(1 / 0.91)) \\
& =0.26 \mathrm{~kW}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{DCR}=\mathrm{DR} \times \mathrm{DC} \times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr}$
where,
DCR $=$ Demand cost reduction
DC $=$ Demand cost, $€ 7 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo}$
Therefore,
$\mathrm{DCR}=0.26 \mathrm{~kW} \times € 7 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo} \times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr}$

$$
=€ 22.12 / \mathrm{yr}
$$

$\mathrm{ES}=\mathrm{DR} \times 16 \mathrm{hr} /$ day $\times 5$ days $/ \mathrm{wk} \times 50 \mathrm{wk} / \mathrm{yr}$
Therefore,

$$
\mathrm{ES}=0.26 \mathrm{~kW} \times 16 \mathrm{hr} / \text { day } \times 5 \text { days } / \mathrm{wk} \times 50 \mathrm{wk} / \mathrm{yr}
$$

$$
=1,053.1 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ECS} & =\mathrm{ES} \times \mathrm{EC} \\
\text { where, } & \\
\mathrm{ECS} & =\text { Energy cost savings } \\
\mathrm{EC} & =\text { Energy cost, } € 0.06 / \mathrm{kWh}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ECS} & =1,047.5 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.06 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
& =€ 63.19 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the annual cost savings (ACS) can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ACS} & =\mathrm{DCS}+\mathrm{ECS} \\
& =€ 22.12 / \mathrm{yr}+€ 63.19 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =€ 85.30 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& \\
\mathrm{SPP} & =\text { Cost premium } \mathrm{ACS} \\
& =€ 70.00 / € 85.30 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =\mathbf{0 . 8 2 1} \mathrm{yrs}
\end{aligned}
$$

Additionally, the ROI can be found with looking up the following factor in the interest rate tables:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P} & =\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{~N}] \\
€ 70 & =€ 84.85 / \mathrm{yr}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{ROI}, 14 \text { years }] \\
0.825 & =[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{ROI}, 14 \text { years }] \\
R O I & =121.2 \% \\
\mathrm{BCR} & =\mathrm{PV}(\text { benefits }) / \mathrm{PV} \text { (costs) } \\
\mathrm{PV} \text { (benefits) } & =\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{~N}] \\
& =€ 85.85 / \mathrm{yr}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, 10 \%, 14 \mathrm{yr}] \\
& =€ 84.85 \times 7.3667 \\
& =€ 628.40 \\
\mathrm{BCR} & =€ 625 / € 70 \\
& =8.98
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: Using the BCR measure, which project should the company select? Is the answer the same if life cycle costs (LCC) are used to compare the projects?

Given: Craft Precision, Incorporated, must repair their main air conditioning system, and they are considering two alternatives.
(1) purchase a new compressor for $€ 20,000$ that will have a future salvage value of $€ 2,000$ at the end of its 15 -year life; or
(2) purchase two high efficiency heat pumps for €28,000 that will have a future salvage value of $€ 3,000$ at the end of their 15 -year useful life.

The new compressor will save the company $€ 6,500$ per year in electricity costs, and the heat pumps will save $€ 8,500$ per year. The company's discount rate is $12 \%$.

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { BCR }(1)= & \mathrm{PV}(\text { benefits }) / \mathrm{PV} \text { (costs) } \\
\text { PV (benefits } 1) & =\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{~N}] \\
= & € 6,500 / \mathrm{yr}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, 12 \%, 15 \mathrm{yr}]+ \\
& € 2,000[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{F}, 12 \%, 15] \mathrm{yr} \\
= & € 6,500 \times 6.8109+€ 2,000 \times 0.1827 \\
= & € 44,636.25 \\
B C R(1)= & € 44,636.25 / € 20,000 \\
= & 2.23 \\
\text { BCR }(2)= & \mathrm{PV}(\text { benefits }) / \mathrm{PV} \text { (costs) } \\
\text { PV (benefits } 2)= & \mathrm{A}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{~N}] \\
& =€ 8,500 / \mathrm{yr}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, 12 \%, 15 \mathrm{yr}]+€ 3,000[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{F}, \\
& 12 \%, 15] \mathrm{yr} \\
= & € 8,500 \times 6.8109+€ 3,000 \times 0.1827 \\
& =€ 58,440.75 \\
B C R(2) & =58,440.75 / € 28,000 \\
& =2.09
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, since $\operatorname{BCR}(1)>\operatorname{BCR}(2)$, select option 1: the new compressor.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { LCC }(1) & =\text { Purchase cost }- \text { PV (benefits 1) } \\
& =€ 20,000-€ 44,636.25 \\
& =€(24,636.25) \\
& \\
\text { LCC }(2) & =\text { Purchase cost }- \text { PV (benefits } 2) \\
& =€ 28,000-€ 58,440.75 \\
& =€(30,440.75)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the answer with the LCC is different. Since LCC (2) is more negative (less cost), select option 2: the two high efficiency heat pumps.

## Additional Learning Point

Why the difference?
While the BCR and NPV methods will provide the same accept or reject decisions on independent projects, these different methods may yield different rank orders of projects profitabilities for mutual exclusive projects. The difference is that the BCR method is a measure of how much each dollar invested earns. However, it does not take into account the overall size of the project. Therefore, to make a decision on which mutually exclusive project to select, one needs to use a NPV method, which takes into account the size (amount invested) of the project.

Problem: There are a number of energy-related problems that can be solved using the principles of economic analysis. Apply your knowledge of these economic principles to answer the following questions.

Given: a) Estimates of our use of coal have been made that say we have a 500 years' supply at our present consumption rate. How long will this supply of coal last if we increase our consumption at a rate of $7 \%$ per year? Why don't we need to know what our present consumption is to solve this problem?
b) Some energy economists have said that it is not very important to have an extremely accurate value for the supply of a particular energy source. What can you say to support this view?
c) A community has a 100 MW electric power plant, and their use of electricity is growing at a rate of $10 \%$ per year. When will they need a second 100 MW plant? If a new power plant costs $€ 1$ million per MW, how much money (in today's dollars) must the community spend on building new power plants over the next 35 years?

## Solution::

| a) Year | (yrs) | Present | Remaining <br> (yrs) | Year | Present <br> $($ yrs $)$ | Use | Remaining <br> $(\mathrm{yrs})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 500 | 1.00 | 500.00 | 27 | 500 | 6.21 | 420.30 |
| 1 | 500 | 1.07 | $498-93$ | 28 | 500 | 6.65 | 413.65 |
| 2 | 500 | 1.14 | 497.79 | 29 | 500 | 7.11 | 406.54 |
| 3 | 500 | 1.23 | 496.56 | 30 | 500 | 7.61 | 398.93 |
| 4 | 500 | 1.31 | 495.25 | 31 | 500 | 8.15 | 390.78 |
| 5 | 500 | 1.40 | 493.85 | 32 | 500 | 812 | 382.07 |
| 6 | 500 | 1.50 | 492.35 | 33 | 500 | 9.33 | 372.74 |
| 7 | 500 | 1.61 | 490.74 | 34 | 500 | 9.98 | 362.76 |
| 9 | 500 | 1.72 | 489.02 | 35 | 500 | 10.68 | 352.09 |
| 9 | 500 | 1.84 | 487.18 | 36 | 500 | 11.42 | 340.66 |
| 10 | 500 | 1.97 | 485.22 | 37 | 500 | 12.22 | 328.44 |
| 11 | 500 | 2.10 | 493.11 | 38 | 500 | 13.08 | 315.36 |
| 12 | 500 | 2.25 | 480.86 | 39 | 500 | 13.99 | 301.36 |


|  |  | Present | Remaining | Present | Remaining |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a) Year | (yrs) | Use | (yrs) | Year | (yrs) | Use | $(\mathrm{yrs})$ |
| 13 | 500 | 2.41 | 478.45 | 40 | 500 | 14.97 | 296.39 |
| 14 | 500 | 2.58 | 475.87 | 41 | 500 | 16.02 | 270.37 |
| 15 | 500 | 2.76 | 473.11 | 42 | 500 | 17.14 | 253.22 |
| 16 | 500 | 2.95 | 470.16 | 43 | 500 | 18.34 | 234.88 |
| 17 | 500 | 3.16 | 467.00 | 44 | 500 | 19.63 | 215.25 |
| 18 | 500 | 3.38 | 463.62 | 45 | 500 | 21.00 | 194.25 |
| 19 | 500 | 3.62 | 460.00 | 46 | 500 | 22.47 | 171.79 |
| 20 | 500 | 3.87 | 456.13 | 47 | 500 | 24.05 | 147.73 |
| 21 | 500 | 4.14 | 451.99 | 48 | 500 | 25.73 | 122.00 |
| 22 | 500 | 4.43 | 447.56 | 49 | 500 | 27.53 | 94.47 |
| 23 | 500 | 4.74 | 442.82 | 50 | 500 | 29.46 | 65.01 |
| 24 | 500 | 5.07 | 437.75 | 51 | 500 | 31.52 | 33.50 |
| 25 | 500 | 5.43 | 432.32 | 52 | 500 | 33.73 | $(0.23)$ |
| 26 | 500 | 5.81 | 426.52 |  |  |  |  |

Therefore, with a present amount of coal of 500 years at the present use will only last 52 years if the use is increased by $7 \%$ a year. We do not need to know our present consumption, since we can state the consumption in terms of years.
b) New technologies will allow more efficient use of these resources. Additionally, new technologies will allow for more of these resources to be found. Furthermore, technological development will find new energy sources.
c) Assume that the present peak utilization of the power plant is $50 \%$. Therefore, one can calculate when a new power plant is needed as follows:

| Present peak <br> use (MW) |  | yr | Present peak <br> use(MW) | yr | Present peak |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| use (MW) | yr | Present peak |  |  |  |  |
| use (MW) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Therefore, they need a new plant in year 7.
Therefore, they will need to build fourteen 100 MW power plants over the next 35 years. Assuming that they build the plants in 100 MW increments, a MARR of $10 \%$ and that the cash flow for building the plant all occurs in the year before they reach the next 100 MW increment (unlikely), then the present value of these plants can be calculated as follows:

| number <br> of plants |  |  | cost (€million) |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | PV (€million)

Therefore, these plants will cost about €169 million in today's euros.

Problem: How many hours per week must the gymnasium be used in order to justify the cost difference of a one-year payback?

Given: A church has a gymnasium with sixteen 500 Watt incandescent ceiling lights. An equivalent amount of light could be produced by sixteen 250 Watt PAR (parabolic aluminized reflector) ceiling lamps. The difference in price is $€ 10.50$ per lamp, with no difference in labor. The gymnasium is used 9 months each year. Assume that the rate schedule used is that of Problem 3.8, that gymnasium lights do contribute to the peak demand (which averages 400 kW ), and that the church consumes enough electricity that much of the bill comes from the lowest cost block in the table.

Solution: Customer charge: $€ 8.22$ /mo
Energy charge $€ 0.1230 / \mathrm{KWh}$ on-peak $€ 0.0489 / \mathrm{kWh}$ off-peak

This rate charges less for electricity used during off-peak hours-about $80 \%$ of the hours in a year-than it does for electricity used during on-peak hours.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{AC}= & (\text { Off-peak percentage of energy use) (Off-peak } \\
& \text { energy cost) }+(1-\text { off-peak percentage of energy } \\
& \text { use)(On-peak energy cost) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the average cost per kWh with the above schedule is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{AC}= & (80 \%)(€ 0.0489 / \mathrm{kWh}) \\
& +(1-80 \%)(€ 0.123 / \mathrm{kWh}) \\
= & € 0.06372 / \mathrm{kWh}
\end{aligned}
$$

The demand reduction (DR) from the retrofit can be calculated as follows:

$$
\mathrm{DR}=\mathrm{N} \times(\mathrm{Do}-\text { Dnew })
$$

where,
$\mathrm{N}=$ Number of lamps, 16 lamps
Do = Initial demand per lamp, $500 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{lamp}$
Dnew = Demand of the PARs per lamp, 250 W/lamp
Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{DR} & =16 \text { lamps } \times(500 \mathrm{~W} / \text { lamp }-250 \mathrm{~W} / \text { lamp }) \\
& =4,000 \mathrm{~W} \\
& =4 \mathrm{~kW}
\end{aligned}
$$

The implementation cost (IC) can be calculated as follows:
IC $=$ Cost premium $\times \mathrm{N}$
$=€ 10.50 \times 16$ lamps
$=€ 168.00$
$\mathrm{SPP}=\mathrm{IC} / \mathrm{CS}$
where,

$$
\mathrm{CS}=\text { Cost savings }
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { CS } & =\text { IC/SPP } \\
& =€ 168.00 / 1 \mathrm{yr} \\
& =€ 168.00 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of weeks (Nw) the gym is used each year can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Nw} & =52 \mathrm{wks} / \mathrm{yr} \times 9 \text { months } / 12 \text { months } \\
& =39 \mathrm{wks} / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

The number of hours a week (h) the lights must operate can be calculated as follows:

$$
\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{CS} \times \mathrm{DR} /(\mathrm{Nw} \times \mathrm{Ac})
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{h} & =€ 168 / \mathrm{yr} \times 4 \mathrm{~kW} /(39 \mathrm{wks} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.06372 / \mathrm{kWh}) \\
& =16.9 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{wk}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: Find the equivalent present worth and IRR of the following 6-year project:

Given: Use the depreciation schedule in Table 4-1 purchase and installation cost: €100,000 annual maintenance cost: €10,000
annual energy cost savings: €45,000
salvage value: €20,000
MARR: $12 \%$
Tax rate: $34 \%$
equipment life: $\quad 5$ years for depreciation purposes

Solution: assuming end of year convention

| Year | Before tax cash flow | Depreciation | Taxes | After tax cash flow | PV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $€(100,000)$ |  |  |  | $€(100,000)$ |
| 1 | €35,000 | €20,000 | €5,100 | €29,900 | €31,250 |
| 2 | €35,000 | €32,000 | €1,020 | €33,980 | €27,902 |
| 3 | €35,000 | €19,200 | €5,372 | €29,628 | €24,912 |
| 4 | €35,000 | $€ 11,520$ | €7,983 | €27,017 | €22,243 |
| 5 | €35,000 | €11,520 | €7,983 | €27,017 | €19,860 |
| 6 | €55,000 | €5,760 | €16,742 | €38,258 | €27,865 |
|  |  |  |  | NPV: | $€ 54,032$ |

The MARR that drives the present value to zero is $28.4875 \%$, which is the IRR or ROR.

Problem: Calculate the constant Euro, after tax ROR or IRR for Problem $4-7$ if the inflation rate is $6 \%$

Given: Use the depreciation schedule in Table 4-1 purchase and installation cost: €100,000 annual maintenance cost: €10,000 annual energy cost savings: €45,000 salvage value: $€ 20,000$
MARR: $\quad 12 \%$
Tax rate: $\quad 34 \%$
equipment life: $\quad 5$ years for depreciation purposes

Solution: assuming end of year convention Before tax

After tax

| Year | cash flow | Depreciation | Taxes | cash flow | PV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | (€100,000) |  |  |  | $(€ 100,000)$ |
| 1 | €37,100 | €20,000 | €5,814 | €31,286 | €33,125 |
| 2 | €39,326 | €32,000 | €2,491 | €36,835 | €31,350 |
| 3 | € 41,686 | €19,200 | €7,645 | €34,040 | €29,671 |
| 4 | €44,187 | €11,520 | €11,107 | €33,080 | €28,081 |
| 5 | $€ 46,838$ | €11,520 | €12,008 | € 34,830 | €26,577 |
| 6 | €69,648 | €5,760 | €21,722 | € 47,926 | €35,286 |
|  |  |  |  | NPV: | €84,091 |

The MARR that drives the present value to zero is $21 \%$, which is the $I R R$ or ROR.

Problem: What is the constant euro, after-tax ROR or IRR for this project?
Find the equivalent constant euro after-tax present worth of the following 6 -year project using the depreciation schedule in Table 4-6:

Given: | Purchase and installation cost | $€ 100,000$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | Annual maintenance (AM) | $€ 10,000 / \mathrm{yr}$ |
|  | Maintenance cost inflation | $5 \% / \mathrm{yr}$ |
|  | Annual energy savings (ES) | $€ 45,000$ |
|  | ES growth | $8 \% / \mathrm{yr}$ |
|  | Salvage value (SV) | $€ 20,000$ |
| Salvage value growth | $6 \% / \mathrm{yr}$ |  |
| Consumer price index (CPI) growth | $6 \% / \mathrm{yr}$ |  |
| MARR in constant euros | $12 \% / \mathrm{yr}$ |  |
| Tax rate | $34 \% / \mathrm{yr}$ |  |
| Depreciation life (N) | 5 yrs |  |

## Solution:

| Year | $\boldsymbol{P V}$ | Constant <br> Dollar | After tax <br> cash flow | Taxes | Taxable <br> income | Deprecia- <br> tion | Cash flow | AM | ES | SV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $€(100,000) €(100,000)$ | $€(100,000)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $€ 25,185$ | $€ 28,208$ | 29,900 | 5,100 | 15,000 | 20,000 | 35,000 | 10,000 | 45,000 |  |
| 2 | $€ 25,560$ | $€ 32,063$ | 36,026 | 2,074 | 6,100 | 32,000 | 38,100 | 10,500 | 48,600 |  |
| 3 | $€ 20,256$ | $€ 28,458$ | 33,894 | 7,569 | 22,263 | 19,200 | 41,463 | 11,025 | 52,488 |  |
| 4 | $€ 16,959$ | $€ 26,686$ | 33,690 | 11,421 | 33,591 | 11,520 | 45,111 | 11,576 | 56,687 |  |
| 5 | $€ 15,392$ | $€ 27,126$ | 36,301 | 12,766 | 37,547 | 11,520 | 49,067 | 12,155 | 61,222 |  |
| 6 | $€ 19,964$ | $€ 39,406$ | 55,898 | 25,829 | 75,967 | 5,760 | 81,727 | 12,763 | 66,120 | 28,370 |
|  | $\boldsymbol{@ 2 3 , 3 1 7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The MARR that drives the present value to zero is $19.69 \%$, which is the $I R R$ or ROR

This page intentionally left blank

## Chapter 5

## Lighting

Problem: How much can you save by installing a photocell? What is the payback period of this investment?

Given: When performing an energy survey, you find twelve twolamp F40T12 security lighting fixtures turned on during daylight hours (averaging 12 hours/day). The lamps draw 40 Watts each, the ballasts draw 12 Watts each, and the lights are currently left on

| Energy cost: | $€ 0.055$ | $/ \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Power cost: | $€ 7$ | $/ \mathrm{kW}$ |
| Lamps: | $€ 1$ | $/$ lamp |
| Photocell (installed): | $€ 85$ | / cell |

Solution: Assuming one photocell can control all 12 fixtures
There will probably be demand savings, since the lights will be turned off during the day. It is probable that their peak demand occurs during the day. Therefore, the demand reduction (DR) can be calculated as follows:
$\mathrm{DR}=\mathrm{Nf} \times \mathrm{N} 1 \times \mathrm{P} 1+\mathrm{Nf} \times \mathrm{Pb}$
where,
$\mathrm{Nf}=$ Number of fixtures, 12 fixtures
$\mathrm{N} 1=$ Number of lamps per fixture, 2 lamps/fixture
P1 = Power use of lamps, $40 \mathrm{~W} /$ lamp
$\mathrm{Pb}=$ Power use of ballasts, $12 \mathrm{~W} /$ fixture

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{DR}= & 12 \text { fixtures } \times 2 \mathrm{lamps} / \text { fixture } \times 40 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{lamp}+ \\
& 12 \text { fixtures } \times 12 \mathrm{~W} / \text { fixture } \\
= & 1,104 \mathrm{~W} \\
& =1.104 \mathrm{~kW}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the energy savings (ES) can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ES} & =\mathrm{DR} \times 12 \mathrm{hr} / \text { day } \times 365 \text { days } / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =1.104 \mathrm{~kW} \times 12 \mathrm{hr} / \text { day } \times 365 \text { days } / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =4,835.52 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the cost savings (CS) can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C S= & \mathrm{ES} \times € 0.055 / \mathrm{kWh}+ \\
& \mathrm{DR} \times € 7 / \mathrm{kW} \times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr} \\
= & € 358.69 / \mathrm{yr} \\
S P P= & \mathrm{IC} / \mathrm{CS} \\
= & € 85 / € 358.69 / \mathrm{yr} \\
= & 0.24 \text { years } \\
= & 2.84 \text { months }
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: What is the simple payback period (SPP) and what is the return on investment for each alternative?

Given: You count 120 four-lamp F40T12 fixtures that contain 34Watt lamps and two ballasts. How much can you save by installing:
a. 3-F40T10 lamps at $€ 15 /$ fixture?
b. 3-F32T8 lamps and an electronic ballast at $€ 40$ /fixture?

Assume the same energy costs as in problem 5.1.
Solution:
Energy cost: $\quad € 0.055 / \mathrm{kWh}$
Power cost: €7 /kW
Number of fixtures: 120 fixtures
Present power use
per lamp (Pp) 156.4 W/fixture including ballast
Power use per fixture
for option a. (Pa) $138 \mathrm{~W} /$ fixture including ballast
Power use per fixture
for option b . ( Pb ) 91.2 W/fixture including ballast Implementation cost
for option b. (ICb) €15 / fixture
Implementation cost
for option a. (ICa) €40 /fixture
Assuming that the
lights are used $876 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr}$
Assuming that the
life of the fixtures is 7 yrs

|  | Demand <br> Reduction <br> $(k W)$ | Energy <br> Savings <br> $(k W h / y r)$ | Cost <br> Savings <br> $(€ / y r)$ | IC <br> $(€)$ | SPP <br> $(y r s)$ | IRR <br> $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | 2.21 | 1,934 | 292 | 1,800 | $\mathbf{6 . 1 7}$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| b | 7.82 | 6,854 | 1.034 | 4,800 | 4.64 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 5 \%}$ |

Problem: How much can you save by replacing the two 20 -Watt bulbs with a 7 -Watt CFL?
Given: You see 25 exit signs with two 20-Watt incandescent lamps each. The 20-Watt incandescent lamps have a 2,500 -hour life span and costs $€ 3$ each. The 7-Watt CFLs have a 12,000 -hour life span and cost $€ 5$ each and require the use of a $€ 15$ retrofit kit. Assume the same energy costs given in Problem 5-1.

## Solution: Energy cost:

$€ 0.055 / \mathrm{kWh}$
€7 / kW
25 fixtures
Number of fixtures:
Present power use per lamp (Pp)
40 W/fixture
$7 \mathrm{~W} /$ fixture
including ballast
Present life of lamps (Lp)
Life of retrofit lamps (Lr)
Present lamp cost (cp)
Retrofit lamp cost (cr)
Assuming that the lights are used
2,500 hours/lamp
12,000 hours/lamp
€3 /lamp
€5 /lamp
Assuming that the labor needed to replace the lamp is included in lamp replacement cost

|  | Demand <br> Reduction <br> $(\mathrm{kW})$ | Energy <br> Savings <br> $(\mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Energy and <br> Demand Cost <br> Savings <br> $(€ /$ yr) | Annual Lamp <br> Replacement <br> Costs <br> $(€ /$ / | Lamp | Replacement <br> Cost Savings <br> $(€ / y r)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Total <br> Anual Cost <br> Savings <br> $(€ / y r)$ |
| :---: |
| Option |

The annual lamp replacement costs (LRC) can be calculated as follows:
LRC = Number of lamps per fixture $\times$ Number of fixtures $\times$ Replacement lamp cost $\times$

Problem: How can this problem be solved, and how much money can you save in the process?

Given: An old train station is converted to a community college center, and a train still passes by in the middle of the night. There are 8275 -Watt A19 lamps in surface-mounted wall fixtures surrounding the building, and they are turned on about 12 hours per day. The lamps cost $€ 0.40$ each and last for about one week before failure. Assume electricity costs 8 cents per kWh.

Solution: According to table 5-10, a replacement for a 75-Watt incandescent lamp is an $18-\mathrm{W}$ compact fluorescent lamp (CFL). CFL last longer than incandescent. Additionally, according to table 5 -10 this will have energy savings $€ 34.20$ over the life of the $18-\mathrm{W}$ CFL. Additionally, according to table 5-5, one expects an 18 -W CFL to last 10,000 hours. Since the lamps are on half the time ( 12 -hours per day), we expect the CFL to last 2 years. Additionally, at the present time, the train station personnel replace the lamps about once a week at a cost of $€ 0.40$ per lamp or $€ 20.80$ per year ( 52 weeks $\times € 0.40 / \mathrm{wk}$ ). Additionally, we expect $18-\mathrm{W}$ CFL to cost about $€ 20$ per lamp. Therefore, the cost of the replacement lamps cancel. Therefore, we can calculate the energy cost savings as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ECS} & =€ 34 \cdot 20 / \mathrm{lamp} / 2 \text { years } \times 82 \text { lamps } \\
& =€ 1,402 \cdot 20 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Additionally, one would expect a labor cost savings. Assuming that the burdened labor cost is $€ 10$ per hour and the maintenance crew spends $2 \mathrm{man}-\mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{wk}$ to replace the lamps. Therefore, the labor savings (LS) can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{LS} & =€ 10 / \mathrm{man}-\mathrm{hr} \times 2 \mathrm{man}-\mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{wk} \times 52 \mathrm{wk} / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =€ 1,040.00 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, we estimate the total annual cost savings (CS) as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CS} & =\text { Lamp replacement savings }+\mathrm{ECS}+\mathrm{LS} \\
& =0+€ 1,402.20 / \mathrm{yr}+1,040 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =€ 2,442.20 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: How much can you save by replacing these fixtures with 70-Watt HPS cutoff luminaires?

Given: During a lighting survey you discover thirty-six 250-Watt mercury vapor cobrahead streetlights operating 4,300 hours per year on photocells.
There is no demand charge, and energy costs $€ 0.055$ per kWh.

## Solution:

Energy cost:
Number of fixtures:
Present power use per lamp ( Pp ) $300 \mathrm{~W} /$ fixture including ballast
Power use per fixture of retrofit (Pr) $84 \mathrm{~W} /$ fixture including ballast
Assume both lamp lives are about the same
Assume both lamp costs are about the same
Lamp use
4,300 hrs/yr

| Option | Demand <br> Reduction <br> $(\mathrm{kW})$ | Energy <br> Savings <br> $(\mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Energy and <br> Demand <br> Cost Savings <br> $(€ / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present | - | - | - |
| Retrofit | 7.776 | 33,437 | $\mathbf{1 , 8 3 9 . 0 2}$ |

Problem: What is the savings from retrofitting the facility with 250Watt high pressure sodium (HPS) downlights? What will happen to the lighting levels?

Given: You find a factory floor that is illuminated by eighty-four 400-Watt mercury vapor downlights. This facility operates two shifts per day for a total of 18 hours, five days per week.
Assume that the lights are contributing to the facility's peak demand, and the rates given in Problem 5-1 apply.

## Solution:

| Energy cost: | $€ 0.055 / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Power cost: | $€ 7 / \mathrm{kW}$ |
| Number of fixtures: | 84 fixtures |
| Present power use per lamp (Pp) | $480 \mathrm{~W} /$ fixture including ballast |
| Power use per fixture of retrofit $(\mathrm{pr})$ | $300 \mathrm{~W} /$ fixture including ballast |
| Assume lamp lives are about the same |  |
| Assume lamp costs are about the same |  |
| Assuming that the lights are used | $4,680 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr}$ |


| Option | Demand <br> Reduction <br> $(k W)$ | Energy <br> Savings <br> $(k W h / y r)$ | Energy and <br> Demand <br> Cost Savings <br> $(€ / y r)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retrofit | 15.120 | 70,762 | $5,161.97$ |

One would expect the lighting levels to be about the same to a little higher. Check the manufacturer's data for an exact comparison.

Problem: What will happen to the lighting levels throughout the space and directly under the fixtures? Will this retrofit be cost-effective?
What is your recommendation?
Given: An office complex has average ambient lighting levels of 27 LUX with four-lamp F40T12 40-Watt $2^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime}$ recessed troffers. They receive a bid to convert each fixture to two centered F32T8 lamps with a specular reflector designed for the fixture and an electronic ballast with a ballast factor of 1.1 for €39 per fixture. This lighting is used on-peak, and electric costs are $€ 6.50$ per kW and $€ 0.05$ per kWh.

Solution: One would expect the overall lighting levels to decrease, while the reflectors should reduce this reduction by concentrating the light to the areas below the lights.

Energy cost:
Power cost:
Number of fixtures:
Present power use per lamp (Pp) 184.0 W/fixture including ballast
Power use per fixture for retrofit $(\operatorname{Pr}) 70.4 \mathrm{~W} /$ fixture including ballast
Implementation cost for retrofit (IC) €39 / fixture
Assuming that the lights are used $8,760 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr}$
$€ 0.05 / \mathrm{kWh}$
€6.50 / kW
1 fixture
184.0 W/fixture including ballast

|  | Demand <br> Reduction <br> $(k W)$ | Energy <br> Savings <br> $(k W h / y r)$ | Savings <br> $(€ / y r)$ | Cost <br> IC <br> $(€)$ | SPP <br> $(\mathrm{yrs})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retrofit | 0.11 | 995 | 59 | 39 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 7}$ |

Since this retrofit would pay back in less than a year, this would be a good project.

Problem: What is your advice?
Given: An exterior loading dock in Kiev, Ukraine, uses F40T12 40 -Watt lamps in enclosed fixtures. They are considering a move to use 34 -Watt lamps.

## Solution:

| Energy cost: | $€ 0.055$ | $/ \mathrm{kWh}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Power cost: | $€ 7$ | $/ \mathrm{kW}$ |  |
| Number of fixtures: | 1 | fixtures |  |
| Present power use per lamp (Pp) | 46.0 | $\mathrm{~W} /$ fixture | including ballast |
| Power use per fixture for retrofit (Pr) | 39.1 | $\mathrm{~W} /$ fixture | including ballast |
| Implementation cost for retrofit (IC) | $€ 1$ | $/$ fixture |  |
| Assuming that the lights are used | 8,760 | $\mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |


|  | Demand <br> Reduction <br> $(k W)$ | Energy <br> Savings <br> $(k W h / y r)$ | Cost <br> Savings <br> $(€ / y r)$ | IC <br> $(€)$ | SPP <br> $(\mathrm{yrs})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retrofit | 0.01 | 60 | 4 | 1 | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6}$ |

If we assume that the electric costs are the same as in Problem 5-1, the lights are on all the time, the cost of the 34-Watt lamps is $€ 1$ more per lamp, and the lighting levels are higher than needed (the 34-Watt lamps will produce a little less light), then this project looks good since its payback is less than a year.

Therefore, my advice would be to replace the 40-Watt lamps with 34-Watt lamps the next time they perform a group lamp replacement.

Problem: How would you recommend they proceed with lighting changes? What will be the savings if they have a cost of 6 cents per kWh?

Given: A turn-of-the-century power generating station uses 1500Watt incandescent lamps in pendant mounted fixtures to achieve lighting levels of about 200 LUX in an instrument room. They plan on installing a dropped ceiling with a 60 $\times 120 \mathrm{~cm}$ grid.

Solution: There exist many strategies that could work, depending on other conditions such as the need for light at various work surfaces and the height of the ceiling. One strategy that may work is replacing each 1,500 -Watt lamp with a four-lamp F32T8 fixture. This would work if the lighting level remains within acceptable levels, which could depend on how far the drop ceiling lowers the lamps towards the working surface. Perhaps, this strategy could be used in combination with task lighting. Assuming that the one four-lamp F32T8 fixture and an 18 -Watt CFL for each 1,500 -Watt lamp provide an acceptable lighting level, then the cost savings (CS) from this retrofit can be calculated as follows:

| Energy cost: | $€ 0.060$ | / kWh |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Number of fixtures: | 1 | fixtures |  |
| Present power use per lamp (Pp) | 1,500 | $\mathrm{~W} /$ fixture |  |
| Power use per fixture for F32T8 (Pf) | 121.6 | $\mathrm{~W} /$ fixture | including ballast |
| Power use per fixture for CFL (Pc) | 18 | $\mathrm{~W} /$ fixture | including ballast |
| Assuming that the lights are used | 8,760 | $\mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr}$ |  |


|  | Demand <br> Reduction <br> $(\mathrm{kW})$ | Energy <br> Savings <br> $(\mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Cost <br> Savings <br> $(€ / \mathrm{Yr})$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retrofit | 1.36 | 11,917 | $\mathbf{7 1 5}$ | per fixture |

Problem: What would be the life-cycle savings of using 13-Watt CFL in the same fixtures?

Given: A meat-packing facility uses 100-Watt A19 lamps in jarlights next to the entrance doors. These lamps cost $€ 0.50$ each and last 750 hours. The CFLs cost $€ 15$ each, and last 12,000 hours. The lights are used on-peak, and the electricity costs 8 cents per kWh.

## Solution:

| Energy cost: | $€ 0.080$ | $/ \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Number of fixtures: | 1 | fixtures |
| Present power use per lamp (Pp) | $100 \mathrm{~W} /$ fixture |  |
| Power use per fixture of retrofit (Pr) | $13 \mathrm{~W} /$ fixture including ballast |  |
| Present life of lamps (Lp) | 750 hours l lamp |  |
| Life of retrofit lamps (Lr) | $12,000 \mathrm{hours} /$ lamp |  |
| Present lamp cost (cp) | $€ 0.500 /$ lamp |  |
| Retrofit lamp cost (Cr) | $€ 15 \mathrm{llamp}$ |  |
| Assuming that the lights are used | $8,760 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr}$ |  |
| Assuming the MARR is | $15 \%$ |  |

Assuming that the labor needed to replace the lamp is included in lamp replacement cost


Problem: What problems can you anticipate from the light trespass off the lot? How would you recommend improving the lighting? How much can you save with a better lighting source and design?

Given: A retail shop uses a 1,000-Watt mercury vapor floodlight on the corner of the building to illuminate the parking lot. Some of this light shines out into the roadway. Use the electric costs from Problem 5-7, and assume the light does not contribute to the shop's peak load.

Solution: The problems include possible liability and wasting energy by lighting an area that does not need light. One could improve the lighting design by properly aiming the light (similar to Figure 5-7) and using a more efficient light source:
Table 5-10 recommends using an 880-Watt high pressure sodium (HPS).

## Energy cost:

Number of fixtures:
Present power use per lamp (Pp)
Power use per fixture for retrofit ( Pr )
Assuming that the lights are used
$€ 0.050 \quad / \mathrm{kWh}$
1 fixtures
1,200 W/fixture including ballast
1,056 W/fixture including ballast
4,380 hrs/yr

|  | Demand <br> Reduction <br> $(k W)$ | Energy <br> Savings <br> $(\mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Cost <br> Savings <br> $(€ / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retrofit | 0.144 | 631 | $€ 31.54$ |

Problem: What are the energy, power, and relamping savings from using two 250 -Watt HPS floodlights? What will happen to the lighting levels?

Given: A commercial pool uses four 300-Watt quartz-halogen floodlights. The lights do contribute to the facility's peak load, and the electric rates are those of Problem 5-7.

## Solution:

| Energy cost: | $€ 0.050 / \mathrm{kWh}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Demand cost: | $€ 6.50 / \mathrm{kW}$ |  |
| Present number of fixtures: | 4 fixtures |  |
| Proposed number of fixtures: | 2 fixtures |  |
| Present power use per lamp (Pp) | $300 \mathrm{~W} /$ fixture including ballast |  |
| Power use per fixture for retrofit $(\operatorname{Pr})$ | $300 \mathrm{~W} /$ fixture | including ballast |
| Assuming that the lights are used | $4,380 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr}$ |  |


| Option | Demand <br> Reduction <br> $(k W)$ | Energy <br> Savings <br> $(k W h / y r)$ | Cost <br> Savings <br> $(€ / \mathrm{yr})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retrofit | $\mathbf{0 . 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 2 8}$ | $€ \mathbf{1 7 8 . 2 0}$ |

The lighting level will be increased.

Problem: What is the solution?

Given: You notice that the exterior lighting around a manufacturing plant is frequently left on during the day. You are told that this is due to safety-related issues. Timers or failed photocells would not provide lighting during dark overcast days.

Solution: The photocell sensitivity could be set to provide light even during dark overcast days. Another solution could be to provide a mixture of low sensitivity photocells and more sensitive photocells. In this way a proportion of the lights would come on during overcast days and the rest would only come on during the night or extremely dark days.

Problem: How can you solve these problems?
Given: A manufacturing facility uses F96T12HO lamps to illuminate the production area. Lamps are replaced as they burn out. These fixtures are about 15 years old and seem to have a high rate of lamp and ballast failure.

Solution: One could retrofit the system with a newer lighting system. For example, a system using T8 lamps with electronic ballasts seems appropriate. Additionally, they should implement a group relamping program, which would eliminate the need to replace lamps one-by-one as they fail. Thereby, these two recommendations would not only save energy, but would also save labor costs.
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## Chapter 6

## Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning

Problem: Estimate the heating load.
Given: The heating load of a facility is due to a work force of 22 people including 6 overhead personnel, primarily sitting during the day; 4 maintenance personnel and supervisors; and 12 people doing heavy labor. Assume everyone works the same 8 -hour day.

Solution: Assuming all the people are males, the heat load (q) can be estimated as follows:
$\mathrm{q}=[\mathrm{Ns} \times \mathrm{qs}+\mathrm{Nn} \times \mathrm{qn}+\mathrm{Nh} \times \mathrm{qh}] \times \mathrm{h}$ where,

Ns = Number of people seated, 6 people
qs = Heat gain from seated people, $422 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h} /$ person
Ns = Number of people doing light machine work, 4 people
$\mathrm{qs}=$ Heat gain from people doing light machine work, $1,097 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h} /$ person
Ns = Number of people doing heavy work, 12 people
$\mathrm{qs}=$ Heat gain from people doing heavy work, 1,688 $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{h} /$ person
$\mathrm{h}=$ Number of working hours, $8 \mathrm{hrs} /$ day Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
q= & {[6 \text { people } \times 400 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h} / \text { person }+4 \text { people } \times 1,097} \\
& \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{hr} / \text { person }+12 \text { people } \times 1,688 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h} / \\
& \text { person }] \times 8 \mathrm{hrs} / \text { day } \\
= & 217,408 \mathrm{~kJ} / \text { day } \\
= & 27,176 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: How many kW will this load contribute to the electrical peak if the peak usually occurs during the working day?

Given: $\quad$ The HVAC system that removes the heat in Problem 6.1 has a COP of 2.0 and runs continuously. Assume that the motors in the HVAC system are outside the conditioned area and do not contribute to the cooling load.

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{EER} & =\mathrm{COP} \times 3.6 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
& =2 \times 3.6 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
& =7.2 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
\mathrm{~W} & =\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{h} \mathrm{cooling} /(\mathrm{EER}) \\
& =27,176 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h} / 7.2 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
& =3,774.9 \mathrm{~W} \\
& =3.77 \mathrm{~kW}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: Answer Problem 6.2 with the following assumptions:

Given: 8 of the 12 people doing heavy labor and 2 foremen-maintenance personnel come to work when the others are leaving and that $3,000 \mathrm{~W}$ of extra lighting are required for the night shift.

Solution: Assuming all the people are males, the heat load (q) can be estimated as follows:
$\mathrm{q}=$ [Lighting load $\times 3.6 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kWh}+\mathrm{Nn} \times$ $\mathrm{qn}+\mathrm{Nh} \times \mathrm{qh}] \times \mathrm{h}$
where,
Ns = Number of people doing light machine work, 2 people
qs $=$ Heat gain from people doing light machine work, $1,097 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h} /$ person
Ns $=$ Number of people doing heavy work, 8 people
qs $=$ Heat gain from people doing heavy work, $1,688 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h} /$ person
$\mathrm{h}=$ Number of working hours, $8 \mathrm{hrs} /$ day Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{q}= & {[3 \mathrm{~kW} \times 3,600 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kWh}+2 \text { people } \times 1,097 \mathrm{~kJ} /} \\
& \mathrm{hr} / \text { person }+8 \text { people } \times 1,688 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h} / \text { person }] \times 8 \\
& \mathrm{hrs} / \text { day } \\
= & 211,984 \mathrm{~kJ} / \text { day } \\
= & 26,498 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h} \\
\mathrm{~W}= & \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{h} \text { cooling } /(\mathrm{EER}) \\
= & 26,498 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h} / 7.200 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
= & 3,680.3 \mathrm{~W} \\
= & 3.68 \mathrm{~kW}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: (a) Calculate the total number of kJ lost through these windows per year.
(b) If the heat is supplied by a boiler, and the heat generation and transmission efficiency is $60 \%$, estimate the cost of leaving the windows broken if gas costs $€ 5$ per GJ.

Given: A heated building has six $20 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}$ window panes missing on the windward side. The wind speed has been measured at $4.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, and the location has 6,000 heating degree days per year.

Solution: (a) The amount of heat lost through the windows can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{kJ} / \text { year }= & \mathrm{V} \times 1440 \mathrm{~min} / \text { day } \times 1.204 \mathrm{~kg} \text { dry air } / \mathrm{m}^{3} \times \\
& 1 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{K} \times(\mathrm{HDD}+\mathrm{CDD}) \times \text { Number of } \\
& \text { windows }
\end{aligned}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V}= & \text { Volume of air entering or leaving, in } \\
& \mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{min} \\
= & (20 \times 25 \mathrm{~cm}) / \text { window } \times 4.6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \times 60 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{min} \\
& \times 1 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / 10,000 \mathrm{~cm}^{2} \\
= & 13.80 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{min} / \text { window }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{kJ} / \text { year }= & 13.80 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{min} / \text { window } \times 1440 \mathrm{~min} / \text { day } \times \\
& 1.204 \mathrm{~kg} \text { dry air } / \mathrm{m}^{3} \times 1 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{K} \times \\
& 6,000 \mathrm{HDD} / \mathrm{yr} \times 6 \text { windows } \\
= & 861,331,968 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Ignoring the insulating value of glass, the cost (C) of heat leaving the broken windows can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C} & =\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{yr} \times \text { Cost of gas } \times 1 \mathrm{GJ} / 1,000,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{eff} \\
& =861,331,968 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 5 / \mathrm{GJ} \times 1 \mathrm{GJ} / \\
& 1,000,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / 0.6 \\
= & € 7,178 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: What is the amount of annual savings that you can expect by the proposed reduction in ventilation rates?

Given: You have measured the ventilation in a large truck bay and have found that you are using $5,650 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$. An analysis shows that only $3,775 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$ are required. Measurements at the fans give the total electrical consumption of the ventilation system as 16 kW at the current $1 / \mathrm{s}$ rates. You are currently ventilating this area 16 hours each day, 250 days each year, including the times of peak electrical usage. Your monthly electric rates are $€ 0.045$ per kWh and $€ 12$ per kW of demand. Assume that your power factor is $90 \%$ and that your marginal electrical costs are at the least expensive rates.

Solution: Energy cost:

| $€ 0.045$ | $/ \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $€ 12$ | $/ \mathrm{kW}$ |
| 5,650 | $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| 3,775 | $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| 16 | kW |
| 4,000 | $\mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr}$ |


|  | Demand <br> Reduction <br> $(k W)$ | Energy <br> Savings <br> $(\mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr})$ | Energy and <br> Demand <br> Cost Savings <br> $(€ / y r)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Option | 11.23 | 44,911 | $\mathbf{3 , 6 4 8}$ |

The proposed power (PB) can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{PB} & =\mathrm{PA} \times(\text { flow } \mathrm{B} / \text { flow } \mathrm{A})^{3} \\
& =16 \mathrm{~kW} \times(3,775 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} / 5,650 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s})^{3} \\
& =4.77 \mathrm{~kW}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: How much annual savings do you expect this measure to achieve?

Given: After implementing the improvements suggested in Problem 6.5, you decide to analyze the value of having the second shift come in just as the first shift is leaving, thereby reducing the amount of time that ventilation is needed by 1 hour each day.

Solution: Energy cost:
Demand cost:
Proposed power (PA):
Present annual use (Tp):
Proposed annual use (Tr):
$€ 0.045 / \mathrm{kWh}$
€12 /kW
4.77 kW

4,000 hrs/yr
3,750 hrs/yr

|  | Demand <br> Reduction <br> $(k W)$ | Energy <br> Savings <br> $(k W h / y r)$ | Energy and <br> Demand <br> Cost Savings <br> $(€ / y r)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Option | - | 1,193 | 53.69 |

Problem: Using the SPP method of analysis, which system would you recommend? If the life of the HVAC system is ten years, what is the ROI for the additional cost of the more efficient system? If the company's investment rate is $10 \%$, what is the discounted $B C R$ for this investment?

Given: Suppose the HVAC system in Problem 6.2 needs to be replaced. Compare the cost of running the present system with the cost of a new system with a COP of 3.0. The more efficient system costs $€ 100$ more than a replacement that has the old efficiency. Assume electricity costs eight cents per kWh and the HVAC system operates the equivalent of 2,000 hours per year at full load.

Solution: The HVAC system compressor is at a full load equivalent for 2000 hours per year ( $8 \mathrm{hrs} /$ day $\times 250$ day $/ \mathrm{yr}$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { EER0 } & =\mathrm{COP} \times 3.6 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
& =2 \times 3.6 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
& =7.2 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
\mathrm{~W} 0 & =\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{h} \text { cooling } /(\text { EER }) \\
& =27,176 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h} / 7.2 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
& =3,774.4 \mathrm{~W} \\
& =3.77 \mathrm{~kW}
\end{aligned}
$$

The cost (C0) to run the present system can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C 0 & =\mathrm{W} 0 \times 2,000 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.08 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
& =3.77 \mathrm{~kW} \times 2,000 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.08 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
& =€ 603.91 / \mathrm{yr} \\
\mathrm{EER} 1 & =\mathrm{COP} \times 3.6 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
& =3 \times 3.6 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
& =10.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
\mathrm{~W} 1 & =\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{h} \text { cooling } /(\mathrm{EER}) \\
& =27,176 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h} / 10.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
& =2,516.3 \mathrm{~W} \\
& =2.52 \mathrm{~kW}
\end{aligned}
$$

The cost (C1) to run the proposed system can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C 1 & =\mathrm{W} 0 \times 2,000 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.08 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
& =2.52 \mathrm{~kW} \times 2,000 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.08 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
& =€ 402.61 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the cost savings (CS) can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C S & =(\mathrm{W} 0-\mathrm{W} 1) \times 2,000 \mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.08 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
& =(3.77 \mathrm{~kW}-2.52 \mathrm{~kW}) \times 2,000 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.08 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
& =€ 201.30 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the SPP can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { SPP } & =\text { Cost premium } / \mathrm{CS} \\
& =€ 100 / € 201 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =0.50 \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the SPP is less than a year, I would recommend this project.

Furthermore, looking up the $\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}$ factor of 0.5 in the interest rate tables under the 10 -year row yields an ROI of $>100 \%$, and inputting the cash flows into a financial calculator yields an ROI of $\mathbf{2 0 1 . 3} \%$.

Additionally, the BCR can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{BCR} & =\mathrm{PV}(\text { benefits }) / \mathrm{PV} \text { (costs) } \\
\mathrm{PV} \text { (benefits) } & =\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{~N}] \\
& =€ 201.33 / \mathrm{yr}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, 10 \%, 10 \mathrm{yr}] \\
& =€ 201.33 \times 6.1446 \\
& =€ 1,236.93 \\
B C R & =€ 1,236.93 / € 100 \\
& =12.37
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: Would you recommend that the plant manager authorize the investment?

Given: ACE Industries has a plant in Milan, Italy, with a building that has a solar heat gain of 250 GJ per year. The building is air conditioned with a unit that has an EER of 8, and the plant pays $€ 0.08$ per kWh for electricity. The plant manager is considering installing interior films which would reduce this heat gain by $50 \%$, at a cost of $€ 500$.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr} \text { saved } & =\% \text { loss reduction } \times \text { annual solar load } / \mathrm{EER} \\
& =50 \% \times 250 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{yr} / 8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
& =125 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{yr} / 8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
& =15,625 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the cost savings (CS) can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CS} & =\mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr} \text { saved } \times € 0.08 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
& =15,625 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.08 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
& =€ 1,250.00 / \mathrm{yr} \\
\mathrm{SPP} & =\mathrm{IC} / \mathrm{CS} \\
& =€ 500 / € 1250 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =0.40 \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the SPP is less than a year, I would recommend this project.

Problem: a) What is the air conditioner's SEER?
b) How many kWh are used if the unit runs 2,000 hours each year?
c) What is the annual cost of operation if electric energy costs 7.5 cents per kWh?
d) How many kWh would be saved if the unit had an SEER of 9.1?
e) How much money would be saved?
f) Compute three economic performance measures to show whether this more efficient unit is a cost-effective investment.

Given: A window air conditioner is rated at $5,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{hr}, 230$ volts, 3.75 amps . Assume that the power factor has been corrected to $100 \%$. The low efficiency unit costs $€ 200$, the higher efficiency unit $€ 250$, and each unit lasts ten years. Use a MARR of $15 \%$.

Solution: a) EER $=\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{h}[\mathrm{W}$
$=5,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{hr} /(230 \mathrm{v} \times 3.75 \mathrm{a})$
$=5.80 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh}$
b) $k W h / y r=$ Running hours per year $\times \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{h}$ cooling/(EER)
$=2,000 \mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{yr} \times 5,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{hr} / 5.80 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh}$
$=1,725,000 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{yr}$
1,725 kWh/yr
c) Annual cost $=1,725 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.075 / \mathrm{kWh}$
$=€ 129.38 / \mathrm{yr}$
d)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr}(9.1) & =\begin{array}{l}
\text { Running hours per year } \times \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{h} \\
\\
\text { cooling } /(\mathrm{EER})
\end{array} \\
& =2,000 \mathrm{hr} / \mathrm{yr} \times 5,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{hr} / 9.1 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{Wh} \\
& =1,098,901 \mathrm{~Wh} / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =1,099 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { kWh/yr (saving) }=\mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr}(5.8)-\mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr}(9.1) \\
&=1,725 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr}-1,099 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr} \\
&=626 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr} \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
&=\mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr}(\mathrm{saving}) \times € 0.075 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
&=626 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.075 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
&=46.96 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& \text { f) } \begin{aligned}
& \text { SPP }=\text { Cost premium } / \mathrm{CS} \\
&=(€ 250-€ 200) / € 46.96 / \mathrm{yr} \\
&=1.06 \mathrm{yrs} \\
& \text { IRR }=93.79 \% \\
& \text { Assuming a MARR of } 15 \%: \\
& \text { NPV }=€ 185.68 \\
& B C R=4.71
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

This looks like a good project.

Problem: How many kW of chilling capacity was the plant supplying?

Given: On an energy audit visit to the Orange and Blue Plastics Company, the chiller plant was inspected. Readings on the monitoring gauges showed that chilled water was being sent out of the plant at $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and being returned at $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The flow rate was 24,000 litres of water per minute.

Solution: $\quad \Delta \mathrm{Qt}=\mathrm{cm}_{\mathrm{t}} \Delta \mathrm{T}$
$=$ specific heat constant $\times$ mass $\times$ change in temperature
$=(4.186 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{C}) \times(24,000 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}) \times$ $(1 \mathrm{~min} / 60 \mathrm{~s}) \times\left(0.001 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{L}\right) \times$ $\left(998 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \times(13 \mathrm{C}-6 \mathrm{C})\right.$
$=11,697 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{s}(1 \mathrm{~W}=1 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{s})$
$=11,697 \mathrm{~kW}$

## Chapter 7

## Combustion Processes and the Use of Industrial Wastes

Problem: What is the after-tax present worth of the first 5 years of cash flows associated with this investment if the company uses a constant-euro after-tax rate of return of $8 \%$ on this kind of investment?

Given: In Table 7.2, a waste-burning boiler was described.
Assume the capacity of this boiler is $62,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$. Suppose that these figures are 5 years old, that your company is contemplating the purchase of such a boiler, and that it is planned to save twice the energy amounts and have twice the capacity of the given boiler. The energy cost has been inflating at $10 \%$ per year, base construction costs have been inflating at $6 \%$ per year, the base inflation rate of the economy is $5 \%$, and without inflation the cost of constructing a unit is $\mathrm{R}^{\wedge} 0.73$, multiplied by the cost of the existing unit, where $R$ is the ratio between the capacity of the proposed unit and the capacity of the present unit. The combined rate of the company is $34 \%$. The unit is subject to the 5 year depreciation schedule shown in Table 4-6.

|  | 2000 |  | 2005 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| boiler capacity: | $62,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ | $56,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |
| energy savings: | $350,000 / \mathrm{yr}$ | $€ 700,000 / \mathrm{yr}$ in 2000 euros |  |
| cost of construction: | $\mathrm{R}^{0.73}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}:$ | 2 |  |  |
| cost of construction: | $€ 3,540,000$ | $€ 5,871,582 \quad\left(€ 3,540,000 \times 2^{0.73}\right)$ |  |
|  |  | in 2000 euros |  |
| tax rate: |  | $34 \%$ |  |


|  | 2000 through 2005 |
| ---: | :---: |
| energy cost inflation: | $10 \% /$ yr |
| construction cost inflation: | $6 \% /$ yr |
| other inflation: | $5 \% /$ yr |
| Hurdle rate (MARR): | $8 \%$ |

You have the following data on the economics of a waste-burning system:

| Savings (year 2000 euros) | Costs (year 2000 euros) |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Coal and natural gas: $€ 350,000 / \mathrm{yr}$ | Site preparation: | $€ 335,000$ |
| Trash hauling |  | Building to house system: |
| and landfill: | $€ 473,000 / \mathrm{yr}$ | Equipment support structures: $€ 175,000$ |
|  | Boiler and trash-handling |  |
|  | equipment: | $€ 1,560,000$ |
|  | Piping: | $€ 275,000$ |
|  | Instrumentation: | $€ 220,000$ |
|  | Crew locker room: | $€ 175,000$ |
|  | Miscellaneous mechanical |  |
|  | equipment: | $€ 115,000$ |
|  | Spare parts: | $€ 60,000$ |


| Depreciation Schedule |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Year | Depreciation |
| 1 | $20.00 \%$ |
| 2 | $32.00 \%$ |
| 3 | $19.20 \%$ |
| 4 | $11.52 \%$ |
| 5 | $11.52 \%$ |

Assume the construction takes a year; therefore, savings start a year after construction begins. Furthermore, that construction begins in 2005 with the recognition of costs and savings at the beginning of each year.

## Solution:

Cost of Construction

| Year | Inflation | Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 2000 | $6 \%$ | $€ 5,871,582.38$ |
| 2001 | $6 \%$ | $€ 6,223,877.33$ |
| 2002 | $6 \%$ | $€ 6,597,309.97$ |
| 2003 | $6 \%$ | $€ 6,993,148.57$ |
| 2004 | $6 \%$ | $€ 7,412,737.48$ |
| 2005 | $6 \%$ | $€ 7,857,501.73$ |

Energy Savings

| Year | Inflation | Savings |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 2000 | $10 \%$ | $€ 350,000.00$ |
| 2001 | $10 \%$ | $€ 385,000.00$ |
| 2002 | $10 \%$ | $€ 423,500.00$ |
| 2003 | $10 \%$ | $€ 465,850.00$ |
| 2004 | $10 \%$ | $€ 512,435.00$ |
| 2005 | $10 \%$ | $€ 563,678.50$ |
| 2006 | $10 \%$ | $€ 620,046.35$ |
| 2007 | $10 \%$ | $€ 682,050.99$ |
| 2008 | $10 \%$ | $€ 750,256.08$ |
| 2009 | $10 \%$ | $€ 825,281.69$ |
| 2010 | $10 \%$ | $€ 907,809.86$ |

Trash Hauling and Landfill Savings

| Year | Inflation | Savings |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| 2000 | $5 \%$ | $€ 473,000.00$ |
| 2001 | $5 \%$ | $€ 496,650.00$ |
| 2002 | $5 \%$ | $€ 521,482.50$ |
| 2003 | $5 \%$ | $€ 547,556.63$ |
| 2004 | $5 \%$ | $€ 574,934.46$ |
| 2005 | $5 \%$ | $€ 603,681.18$ |
| 2006 | $5 \%$ | $€ 633,865.24$ |
| 2007 | $5 \%$ | $€ 665,558.50$ |
| 2008 | $5 \%$ | $€ 698,836.42$ |
| 2009 | $5 \%$ | $€ 733,778.25$ |
| 2010 | $5 \%$ | $€ 770,467.16$ |


| Year | Initial investment | Depreciation Cost | Energy Savings | Hauling and Landfill Savings | Before tax savings | $\begin{aligned} & \text { After tax } \\ & \text { savings } \\ & \text { (before tax } \boldsymbol{x} \\ & (1-34 \%) \text { ) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{~N}]} \\ & \text { factor } \\ & (1 /(1+ \\ & \left.\left.\mathrm{MARR})^{\wedge} \mathrm{yr}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$ | PV Sub-Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | ( $¢ 7,857,502$ ) |  |  |  |  | $(€ 7,857,502)$ | 1 | ( $\in 7,857,502$ ) |
| 2006 |  | $(€ 1,571,500)$ | $€ 620,046$ | € 633,865 | ( $€ 317,589)$ | $(€ 209,609)$ | 0.92593 | (€ 194,082) |
| 2007 |  | ( $¢ 2,514,401$ ) | € 682,051 | € 665,558 | (€ 1,166,791) | ( $€ 770,082$ ) | 0.85734 | (€ 660,221) |
| 2008 |  | $(€ 1,508,640)$ | € 750,256 | € 698,836 | (€ 59,548) | ( $€ 39,302$ ) | 0.79383 | ( $€ 31,199$ ) |
| 2009 |  | (€ 905,184) | € 825,282 | € 733,778 | $€ 653,876$ | € 431,558 | 0.73503 | € 317,208 |
| 2010 |  | ( $€ 905,184)$ | € 907,810 | € 770,467 | € 773,093 | € 510,241 | 0.68058 | € 347,262 |

(€7,404,910)

$$
N P V=
$$

( $€ 8,078,534$ )
Therefore, the present value of the first five years is $€(8,074,774)$

Problem The choice of an optimum combination of boiler sizes in the garbage-coal situation is not usually easy. Suppose that health conditions limit the time garbage, even dried, can be stored to 1 month. Use initial costs given in the accompanying table, and assume the municipality and your company have supplies and needs for energy, respectively, as given in the table labeled "data" for Problem 7.2. Suppose all other costs for this problem are the same as Section 7.4.2. What is the optimum choice now?

Costs for Problem 7.2

| Capacity, 750 psi <br> kg/h | Initial Costs: <br> Trashed-fired boiler | Initial Costs: <br> Coal-fired boiler |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $22,700 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $€ 1,800,000$ |
| 45,400 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $€ 3,500,000$ |
| 68,100 | $€ 6,250,000$ | $€ 5,100,000$ |
| 90,800 | $€ 8,640,000$ | $€ 6,900,000$ |
| 113,500 | $€ 10,870,000$ | $€ 8,900,000$ |
| 136,000 | $€ 13,000,000$ | $€ 11,000,000$ |


| Month | Garbage <br> needed (tonnes) | Garbage <br> available (tonnes) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| January | 23,000 | 13,500 |
| February | 23,000 | 13,500 |
| March | 21,600 | 16,500 |
| April | 19,500 | 18,000 |
| May | 14,100 | 18,900 |
| June | 9,500 | 19,500 |
| July | 7,600 | 22,500 |
| August | 9,500 | 21,000 |
| September | 10,800 | 21,000 |
| October | 13,500 | 18,000 |
| November | 18,400 | 15,000 |
| December | 24,300 | 18,600 |

Assume that garbage density is $1,304 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and has 34.84 $\mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

## Given:

| Hurdle rate (MARR): | $10 \%$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Project life: | 20 | years |
| Salvage value: | $€ 0$ |  |
| Tax rate: | $0 \%$ |  |
| Landfill cost inflation (<5yrs.): | $30 \%$ | $/ \mathrm{yr}$ |
| Landfill cost inflation ( $>5 \mathrm{yrs}$.$) :$ | $10 \%$ | $/ \mathrm{yr}$ |
| Assume no other inflation |  |  |

Assume that the projects are expensed; therefore, depreciation is not a factor in the present value analysis.

$$
\begin{array}{rrl}
\text { garbage density: } & 1,304 & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
\text { garbage energy content: } & 34.84 & \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
\text { garbage storage constraint: } & 1 & \mathrm{month} \\
\text { hours of operation per year: } & 8,760 & \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr}
\end{array}
$$

Assume that the capacities in the above table already account for maintenance time and outages.

Coal energy content:
21,000,000 kJ/tonne
Cost of coal: $\quad € 55.00$ /tonne
Coal ash rate: $\quad 9.6 \%$
Trash ash rate: $\quad 16 \%$

Next, we figure out our shortages based on need by month.

| Month | Garbage needed (tonnes) | Garbage burned (tonnes) | Two Boilers -- Coal fired $22,700 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ tonnes of coal (1) | Two Bollers -- Coal fired $\mathbf{4 5 , 4 0 0} \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ tonnes of coal (2) | One boiler -Trash fired tonnes Garbage from other companies (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | 23,000 | 13,500 | 11.46 | 11.46 | 9,500 |
| February | 23,000 | 13,500 | 11.46 | 11.46 | 9,500 |
| March | 21,600 | 16,500 | 6.15 | 6.15 | 5,100 |
| April | 19,500 | 18,000 | 3.53 | 1.81 | 1,500 |
| May | 14,100 | 14,100 | - | - | - |
| June | 9,500 | 9,500 | - | - | - |
| July | 7,600 | 7,600 | - | - | - |
| August | 9,500 | 9,500 | - | - | - |
| September | 10,800 | 10,800 | - | - | - |
| October | 13,500 | 13,500 | - | - | - |
| November | 18,400 | 18,400 | 2.21 | - | - |
| December | 24,300 | 24,300 | 9.32 | - | - |
| Annua | 194,800 | 169,200 | 44.12 | 30.87 | 25,600 |

The calculations for January for the above table are as follows:
(1) $\quad$ Coal needed $=$ (garbage needed $-\min ($ garbage burned, monthly capacity) $\times 2,000 \mathrm{~kg} /$ ton $\times$ (1/ garbage density) $\times$ garbage energy content $\times 1$ / coal energy content
$=(23,000$ tonnes $-\min (13,500$ tonnes, 18,250 tonnes $) \times 1,000 \mathrm{~kg} /$ tonne $\times$ $\left(1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / 1,304 \mathrm{~kg}\right) \times 34.84 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \times 1,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{Mg} \times$ tonne $/ 21,155,000 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$=11.46$ tonnes of coal
(2)

Coal needed $=$ (garbage needed $-\min ($ garbage burned, monthly capacity $) \times 1,000 \mathrm{~kg} /$ tonne $\times(1 /$ garbage density $)$ $\times$ garbage energy content $\times 1 /$ coal energy content
$=(23,000$ tonnes $-\min (13,500$ tonnes, 36,500 tonnes $) \times 1,000 \mathrm{~kg} /$ tonne $\times$ $\left(1 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / 1,304 \mathrm{~kg}\right) \times 34.84 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \times 1,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{Mg} \times$ tonne $/ 21,155,000 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$=11.46$ tonnes of coal
(3) Garbage needed other $=$ (garbage needed $-\min$ (garbage burned, monthly capacity)
$=(23,000$ tonnes $-\min (13,500$ tonnes, 54,750 tonnes $)$
$=9,500.00$ tonnes of garbage from other companies

Next, we figure out the garbage that still needs to be disposed. The only option that does not have enough capacity is the coal fired $22,700 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ :

| Month | Garbage <br> burned (tonnes) | Capacity <br> (tonnes) | Two Boilers—Coal <br> fired 22,700 $\mathbf{~ k g} / \mathrm{hr}$ <br> tonnes of garbage left over |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| January | 13,500 | 18,250 |  |
| February | 13,500 | 18,250 |  |
| March | 16,500 | 18,250 |  |
| April | 18,000 | 18,250 |  |
| May | 14,100 | 18,250 |  |
| June | 9,500 | 18,250 |  |
| July | 7,600 | 18,250 | 150 |
| August | 9,500 | 18,250 | 6,050 |
| September | 10,800 | 18,250 | 6,200 |

Next, we calculate the ash waste for each option:

| Month | Two Boilers- <br> Coal fired <br> $\mathbf{2 2 , 7 0 0} \mathbf{~ k g} / \mathrm{hr}$ | Two Boilers- <br> Coal fired <br> $\mathbf{4 5 , 4 0 0 ~ \mathrm { kg } / \mathrm { h }}$ | One Boiler- <br> Trash fired |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| tonnes of coal | 44.12 | 30.87 | 0 |
| coal ash rate | $9.6 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ | $9.6 \%$ |
| tonnes of trash | 163,000 | 169,200 | 194,800 |
| trash ash rate | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| annual ash (tonnes) | $26,084.24$ | $27,074.96$ | $31,168.00$ |
| ash hauling <br> $(€ 1.25 / \mathrm{T})$ | $€ 32,604.29$ | $€ 33,843.70$ | $€ 38,960.00$ |
| ash land fill <br> $(€ 2.50 / \mathrm{T})$ | $€ 65,210.59$ | $€ 67,687.41$ | $€ 77,920.00$ |

annual ash $=$ tonnes of coal $\times$ coal ash rate + tonnes of ash $\times$ trash ash rate

Finally, we fill in the cash flows:

Coal $22,700 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$

| Year | Initial investment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fuel Savings ( } £ 2,500,000 \\ & € 2,427.60 \text { ) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Maint. Savings ( $£ 50,000-$ € 300,000 ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hauling Savings } \\ (€ 310,125-€ 7,750- \\ € 32,605) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Landfill Savings ( $(6620,250-\in 15,500-$ e65,211) then adjust for landfill increases | Landfill inflation | Revenues | FV Sub-totals | $[P \mathrm{PA}, 1, \mathrm{~N}]$ factor $(1 /(1+$ MARR $\left.y^{\prime} \mathrm{yr}\right)$ 0 | PV Sub-Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | (e 1,800,000) |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( $¢ 1,800,000$ ) | $€ 1$ | ( $¢ 1,800,000$ ) |
| 1 |  | € 2,497,573 | ( $¢ 250,000$ ) | € 269,770 | ¢ 539,539 | 30\% | €0 | € 3,056,882 | € 1 | € 2,778,984 |
| 2 |  | € 2,497,573 | $(¢ 250,000)$ | ¢ 269,770 | ¢ 701,401 | 30\% | ¢0 | ¢3,218,744 | ¢1 | ¢ 2,660,119 |
| 3 |  | € 2,497,573 | ( $\mathcal{E} 250,000$ ) | € 269,770 | € 911,821 | 30\% | €0 | € 3,429,164 | €1 | € 2,576,382 |
| 4 |  | € 2,497,573 | (e250,000) | ¢ 269,770 | € 1,185,367 | 30\% | ¢0 | € 3,702,711 | € 1 | € 2,529,001 |
| 5 |  | € 2,497,573 | ( $¢ 250,000$ ) | ¢ 269,770 | € 1,540,977 | 30\% | €0 | € 4,058,321 | €1 | € 2,519,898 |
| 6 |  | € 2,497,573 | (e250,000) | € 269,770 | € 1,695,075 | 10\% | E0 | ¢ 4,212,418 | € 1 | € 2,377,800 |
| 7 |  | € 2,497,573 | (e250,000) | ¢ 269,770 | ¢ 1,864,583 | 10\% | €0 | € 4,381,926 | € 1 | € 2,248,621 |
| 8 |  | € 2,497,573 | ( $\subset 250,000$ ) | ¢269,770 | € 2,051,041 | 10\% | ¢0. | € 4,568,384 | €0 | € 2,131,185 |
| 9 |  | ¢ 2,497,573 | ( $¢ 250,000)$ | ¢ 269,770 | ¢2,256,145 | 10\% | ¢0 | ¢ 4,773,488 | ¢0 | € 2,024,425 |
| 10 |  | ¢ 2,497,573 | (e250,000) | ¢269,770 | ¢2,481,759 | 10\% | ¢0 | ¢ 4,999,103 | ¢0 | ¢1,927,371 |
| 11 |  | $€ 2,497,573$ | (e 250,000) | e269,770 | € 2,729,935 | 10\% | €0 | € 5,247,279 | ¢0 | €1,839,139 |
| 12 |  | ¢2,497,573 | (e250,000) | ¢ 269,770 | $€ 3,002,929$ | 10\% | ¢0 | € 5,520,272 | ¢0 | € 1,758,929 |
| 13 |  | ¢ 2,497,573 | ( $€ 250,000$ ) | ¢ 269,770 | ¢3,303,222 | $10 \%$ | €0 | ¢ 5,820,565 | ¢0 | € 1,686,010 |
| 14 |  | € 2,497,573 | ( $¢ 250,000$ ) | ¢ 269,770 | € 3,633,544 | 10\% | € 0 | € 6,150,887 | ¢0 | € 1,619,721 |
| 15 |  | € 2,497,573 | ( $¢ 250,000$ ) | ¢ 269,770 | € 3,996,898 | 10\% | ¢0 | ¢ 6,514,242 | ¢0 | ¢ 1,559,458 |
| 16 |  | ¢2,497,573 | (e 250,000) | € 269,770 | ¢4,396,588 | 10\% | €0 | € 6,913,932 | ¢0 | €1,504,673 |
| 17 |  | € 2,497,573 | (e250,000) | € 269,770 | € 4,836,247 | 10\% | € 0 | ¢ 7,353,590 | €0 | € 1,454,869 |
| 18 |  | ¢ 2,497,573 | (e250,000) | ¢ 269,770 | ¢ $5,319,872$ | 10\% | € 0 | €7,837,215 | ¢0 | ¢ 1,409,592 |
| 19 |  | ¢ 2,497,573 | (e 250,000 ) | € 269,770 | € 5,851,859 | 10\% | € 0 | ¢8,369,202 | ¢0 | e $1,368,431$ |
| 20 |  | ¢2,497,573 | (e250,000) | € 269,770 | € 6,437,045 | 10\% | € 0 | ¢ 8,954,388 | €0 | €1,331,013 |
| $N P V=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E37,505,621 |

Trash 68,100 kg/hr

| Year | Initial investment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fuel Savings ( } € 2,500,000 \\ & \epsilon 1,697.98 \text { ) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Maint. Savings ( $£ 50,000$ - <br> ( 6300,000 ) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hauling Savings } \\ (€ 310,125-\epsilon 38.960) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Landfill Savings ( $€ 620,250-€ 77,920)$ then adjust for landfill increases | Landfill inflation | Revenues | FV Sub-totals | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} {[\mathrm{PPA}, 1, \mathrm{~N}]} \\ \text { factor } \\ (1 /(1+ \\ \text { MARR } \left.)^{\wedge} \mathrm{yr}\right) \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ | PV Sub-Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | ( $¢ 6,250,000$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | ( $¢ 6,250,000$ ) | $\epsilon 1$ | ( $¢ 6,250,000$ ) |
| 1 |  | € 2,498,302 | ( $¢ 250,000)$ | € 271,165 | € 542,330 | 30\% | ¢ 384,000 | ¢ 3,445,797 | ¢ 1 | € 3,132,543 |
| 2 |  | € 2,498,302 | ( $¢ 250,000$ ) | € 271,165 | € 705,029 | 30\% | ¢384,000 | € 3,608,496 | $€ 1$ | € 2,982,228 |
| 3 |  | € 2,498,302 | ( $¢ 250,000$ ) | € 271,165 | € 916,538 | 30\% | ¢ 384,000 | € 3,820,005 | $\ell 1$ | € 2,870,026 |
| 4 |  | € 2,498,302 | ( $¢ 250,000$ ) | € 271,165 | € 1,191,499 | 30\% | ¢ 384,000 | € 4,094,966 | ¢ 1 | € 2,796,917 |
| 5 |  | ¢ 2,498,302 | ( $¢$ 250,000) | € 271,165 | € 1,548,949 | 30\% | € 384,000 | € 4,452,416 | $\epsilon 1$ | € 2,764,600 |
| 6. |  | € 2,498,302 | (e 250,000 ) | € 271,165 | $\epsilon 1,703,844$ | 10\% | € 384,000 | € 4,607,311 | $\ell 1$ | € 2,600,707 |
| 7 |  | € 2,498,302 | ( ( 250,000) | € 271,165 | $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} 1,874,228$ | 10\% | ¢ 384,000 | € 4,777,695 | € 1 | € 2,451,713 |
| 8 |  | € 2,498,302 | ( $¢ 250,000$ ) | ¢ 271,165 | € 2,061,651 | 10\% | € 384,000 | ¢ 4,965,118 | $\epsilon 0$ | € 2,316,264 |
| 9 |  | $€ 2,498,302$ | ( $¢ 250,000$ ) | ¢ 271,165 | € 2,267,816 | 10\% | € 384,000 | ¢ 5,171,283 | $\ell 0$ | € 2,193,129 |
| 10 |  | €2,498,302 | ( $¢ 250,000$ ) | € 271,165 | € 2,494,597 | 10\% | ¢ 384,000 | € 5,398,065 | ¢ 0 | € 2,081,188 |
| 11 |  | € 2,498,302 | ( $¢ 250,000$ ) | ¢271,165 | € 2,744,057 | 10\% | € 384,000 | € 5,647,524 | €0 | € 1,979,423 |
| 12 |  | € 2,498,302 | ( $£ 250,000$ ) | ¢ 271,165 | € 3,018,463 | 10\% | € 384,000 | € 5,921,930 | € 0 | € 1,886,909 |
| 13 |  | € 2,498,302 | ( $¢ 250,000$ ) | € 271,165 | € 3,320,309 | 10\% | ¢ 384,000 | € 6,223,776 | ¢ 0 | € 1,802,806 |
| 14 |  | € 2,498,302 | (e250,000) | € 271,165 | € 3,652,340 | 10\% | € 384,000 | ¢6,555,807 | € 0 | €1,726,349 |
| 15 |  | € 2,498,302 | ( $£ 250,000$ ) | ¢ 271,165 | € 4,017,574 | 10\% | € 384,000 | € 6,921,041 | €0. | € 1,656,842 |
| 16 |  | € 2,498,302 | $(€ 250,000)$ | ¢271,165 | € 4,419,331 | 10\% | ¢ 384,000 | € 7,322,799 | €0 | € 1,593,654 |
| 17 |  | ¢2,498,302 | ( $\mathrm{E}_{250,000 \text { ) }}$ | € 271,165 | € 4,861,265 | 10\% | € 384,000 | € 7,764,732 | ¢0 | € 1,536,211 |
| 18 |  | € 2,498,302 | ( $¢ 250,000$ ) | ¢ 271,165 | € 5,347,391 | 10\% | ¢ 384,000 | ¢ 8,250,858 | ¢0 | € 1,483,989 |
| 19 |  | € $2,498,302$ | ( $¢ 250,000$ ) | e 271,165 | € 5,882,130 | 10\% | € 384,000 | ¢8,785,507 | ¢0 | € 1,436,515 |
| 20 |  | €2,498,302 | ( $€ 250,000$ ) | ¢271,165 | € 6,470,343 | 10\% | ¢ 384,000 | € 9,373,810 | €0 | € 1,393,357 |
| $N P V=$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | € 36,435,371 |

Therefore, as far as NPV is concerned the options look very similar. Some more sensitivity analysis needs to be performed. However, the two boiler, coal / trash fired option does have the highest net present value and should be chosen assuming the sensitivity analysis holds this to be true.
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## Chapter 8

## Steam Generation and Distribution

Problem: How much do these leaks cost per year in lost fuel?
Given: An audit of a 4,200 KpA steam distribution system shows 50 wisps (estimated at $10 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ ), 10 moderate leaks (estimated at $50 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ ), and 2 leaks estimated at $350 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ each. The boiler efficiency is $85 \%$, the ambient temperature is $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the fuel is coal, at € $65 /$ tonne and $30 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kg}$. The steam system operates continuously throughout the year.

Solution: The amount of steam energy lost (q) can be estimated as follows:
$\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{h} \times$ summation [number of leaks $\times$ mass flow rate of leaks]
$=(2,799.58-83) \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{kg} \times(50$ leaks $\times 10 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h} /$ leak +10 leaks $\times 50 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h} /$ leak +2 leaks $\times 350 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h} /$ leak)
$=4,618,186 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h}$
$=40,455 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{yr}$
Therefore, the cost (C) of these leaks can be estimated as follows:
$C=\mathrm{q} \times € 65 /$ tonne $/ 30 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kg} / 1,000 \mathrm{~kg} /$ tonne $/ 0.85$
$=40,455 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{yr} \times$
€65/tonne / $30 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kg} / 1,000 \mathrm{~kg} /$ tonne $/ 0.85$
$=€ 103,121.38 / \mathrm{yr}$

Problem: How much heat is exchanged per kilogram of entering steam?

Given: $\quad$ Steam enters a heat exchanger at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 8.5 MPa and leaves as water at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.5 MPa .

Solution: Look up the enthalpy on steam tables or a Mollier diagram.

You may need to extrapolate numbers for those not directly on the steam table.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { delta } h & =\mathrm{h} 1-\mathrm{h} 0 \\
& =(2750.3-639.8) \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{kg} \\
& =2110.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: What would be the potential annual savings in the example of Section 7.5 if the amount of boiler blowdown could be decreased to an average rate of $1,500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$, assuming that it remained at $204^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ? How much additional heat would be available from the $1,500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ of blowdown water for use in heating the incoming makeup water?

Given: Fuel cost: €65.00 /tonne
Energy content: $\quad 28,000 \quad$ MJ/tonne coal
Initial blowdown rate: $\quad 7,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$
Blowdown temperature: $\quad 204{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Assume $100 \%$ of heat could be used.
Solution: $\quad \mathrm{hw}=871.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}$
Assuming blowdowns last for about one hour per day.
Therefore, the annual cost savings (CS) from reducing the blowdown mass flow rate can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { CS1 }= & \mathrm{hw} \times(\mathrm{m} 1-\mathrm{m} 0) \times 365 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 65 / \text { tonne } / 1,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \\
& \text { tonne } / 28,000 \mathrm{MJ} / \text { tonne } \\
= & 871.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} \times(7,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}-1,500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}) \times 365 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr} \\
& \times € 65 / \text { tonne } / 1,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \text { tonne } / 28,000 \mathrm{MJ} / \text { tonne } \\
= & € 4,062.82 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Additionally, the heat ( q ) available from the $1,500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$ of blowdown water can be estimated as follows (assuming 100\% recovery of the heat):

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
q & =\mathrm{hw} \times 1,500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h} \\
& =871.8 & \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} \times 1,500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h} \\
& =1,307,700 & \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h} \text { of blowdown }
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, the annual cost savings (CS2) of recovering all the heat from the blowdowns can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CS} 2= & \mathrm{q} \times 365 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 65 / \mathrm{ton} / 1,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ton} / 28,000 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kg} \\
= & 1,307,700 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h} \\
& \times 365 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 65 / \mathrm{ton} / 1,000 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ton} / 28,000 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{kg} \\
= & € 1,108 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the total annual cost savings (CS) from these two measures is:

CS $=€ 5,170.86 / y r$

Problem: Develop a table showing the size of the orifice, the number of kilograms of steam lost per hour, the cost per month, and the cost for an average heating season of 7 months.

Given: Suppose that you are preparing to estimate the cost of steam leaks in a 2.4 MPa steam system. The source of the steam is $28,000 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{t}$ coal at $€ 70$ / tonne, and the efficiency of the boiler plant is $70 \%$. Hole diameters are classified as $2,4,6,8$, and 10 mm .

## Solution:

| Size of <br> leak <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ <br> lost | Heat loss <br> $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{h})$ | Monthly <br> cost $(€)$ | Annual cost <br> $(€)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 28 | 75,971 | $€ 198$ | $€ 1,386$ |
| 4 | 112 | 303,885 | $€ 792$ | $€ 5,546$ |
| 6 | 251 | 683,742 | $€ 1,783$ | $€ 12,478$ |
| 8 | 447 | $1,215,541$ | $€ 3,169$ | $€ 22,184$ |
| 10 | 699 | $1,899,282$ | $€ 4,952$ | $€ 34,662$ |

Assume starting temperature of the make-up water is room temperature $\left(20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

Problem: If this change is made, how many kilograms per hour of steam does this energy management opportunity (EMO) save?

Given: A 100-metre-long steam pipe carries saturated steam at 670 kPa . The pipe is not well insulated, and has a heat loss of about 50 MJ per hour. The plant industrial engineer suggests that the pipe insulation be increased so that the heat loss would be only 5 MJ per hour.

Solution: The heat rate savings ( $q$ ) can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{q} & =\mathrm{q} 1-\mathrm{q} 0 \\
& =50 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{hr}-5 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{hr} \\
& =45 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{hr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Additionally, the mass flow rate of steam saved (m) can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & =\mathrm{q} / \mathrm{hstm} @ 670 \mathrm{kPa} \\
& =45 \mathrm{MJ} / \mathrm{hr} / 2760.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} \\
& =16.3 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: Calculate the heat loss from the boiler from the following two sources.

Given: Tastee Orange Juice Company has a large boiler that has a $40 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ exposed surface that is at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The boiler discharges flue gas at $205^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and has an exposed surface for the stack of $15 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

## Solution:

Radiative loss $=\mathrm{A} \times 5.6697 \times 10^{-8}\left(\mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{K}^{4}\right) \times\left(\mathrm{Ts}^{4}-\mathrm{Tr}^{4}\right)$
and
Convective loss $=\mathrm{A} \times 4,480 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{K}^{4 / 3} \times(\mathrm{Ts}-\mathrm{Tr})^{(4 / 3)}$
where,
Ts = Surface temperature in degrees Kelvin
$\mathrm{Tr}=$ Room temperature in degrees Kelvin
$\mathrm{A}=$ Surface area in square metres
Therefore, the total heat losses from these two sources can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
q= & 40 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times\left[5.6697 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{K} \times\right. \\
& \left((273.15+110)^{4}-(273.15+25)^{4}\right) \times 3600 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{h} \\
& +4.48 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{K}^{4 / 3} \times((273.15+110)-(273.15 \\
& \left.\left.+25))^{(4 / 3)}\right) \mathrm{K}\right] \\
& +15 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times\left[5.6697 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{K}^{4} \times\left((273.15+205)^{4}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-(273.15+25)^{4}\right) \times 3600 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{h} \\
& +4.48 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{4 / 3} \times((273.15+205) \\
= & \left.\left.-(273.15+205))^{(4 / 3)}\right) \mathrm{K}\right] \\
= & 382,548 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: What is the relationship of the wisp, moderate leak, and severe leak as defined by Waterland to the hole sizes found from Grashof's formula for 4.2 kPa steam?

In other words, find the hole sizes that correspond to the wisp, moderate leak, and severe leak.

Given: In Section 8.2.1.1 two methods were given to estimate the energy lost and cost of steam leaks.

Solution:

|  | Size of leak <br> (mm diameter) | $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{hr}$ lost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Wisp 0.039 | 28.264 | 11.3 |
| Moderate | 56.527 | 45.4 |
| Severe | 154.807 | 340.2 |

Assumed $\quad 4.2 \mathrm{kPa}$ saturated steam system
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## Chapter 9

## Control Systems and Computers

Problem: How much will be saved by duty-cycling the fans such that each is off 10 minutes per hour on a rotating basis?
At any time, two fans are off and 10 are running.
How much will they be willing to spend for a control system to duty cycle the fans?

Given: Ugly Duckling Manufacturing Company has a series of 12 exhaust fans over its diagnostic laboratories. Presently, the fans run 24 hours per day, exhausting $280 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s}$ each. The fans are run by $1.5-\mathrm{kW}$ motors with load factors of 0.8 and efficiencies of $80 \%$. Assume the plant operates 24 hours per day, 365 days per year in an areas of $2,500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ heating degree days and $1,000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ cooling degree days per year.

The plant pays $€ 0.05$ per kWh and $€ 5$ per kW for it electricity and $€ 5$ per GJ for its gas. The heating plant efficiency is 0.8 , and the cooling COP is 2.5 . Assume the company only approves EMO projects with two years or less SPP.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{DR} \text { fan }= & \mathrm{If} \times 1.5 \mathrm{~kW} / \text { fan } \times 2 \text { fans } / \mathrm{eff} \\
= & 0.8 \times 1.5 \mathrm{~kW} / \text { fan } \times 2 \text { fans } / 0.8 \\
= & 3 \mathrm{~kW} \\
\mathrm{ES} \text { fan }= & \mathrm{DR} \times 24 \mathrm{hrs} / \text { day } \times 365 \text { days } / \mathrm{yr} \\
= & 3 \mathrm{~kW} \times 24 \mathrm{hrs} / \text { day } \times 365 \text { days } / \mathrm{yr} \\
= & 26,280 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr} \\
\mathrm{CS} \text { fan }= & \mathrm{ES} \times € 0.05 / \mathrm{kWh}+\mathrm{DR} \times € 5 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo} \times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr} \\
= & 26,140 \mathrm{kWh} \times € 0.05 / \mathrm{kWh}+3 \mathrm{~kW} \times € 5 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo} \\
& \times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr} \\
= & € 1,494 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { heating savings }= & 2 \text { fans } \times 280 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} / \text { fan } \times 3600 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{hr} \\
& \times 1.006 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \times 0.001 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{l} \times 1.204 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \\
& \times 2,500^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { days } / \mathrm{yr} \times € 5 / \mathrm{GJ} \times 24 \mathrm{hrs} / \mathrm{day} \\
& \times \mathrm{GJ} / 1,000,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / 0.8 \\
= & € 916 / \mathrm{yr} \\
\text { cooling savings }= & 2 \text { fans } \times 280 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{fan} \times 1.006 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& \times 0.001 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / 1 \times 1.204 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \times 1,000^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { days } / \mathrm{yr} / \\
& \times 1 \mathrm{~kW} / 1 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{s} \times 24 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{day} \times \\
& (€ 0.05 / \mathrm{kWh}+€ 5 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo} \\
& \times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr} / 8,760 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr}) / 2.5 \\
= & € 370 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the total cost savings is:

$$
C S=€ 2,780 / y r
$$

Additionally, they would be willing to pay the following for implementation cost (IC):

$$
\begin{aligned}
I C & =\mathrm{SPP} \times \mathrm{CS} \\
& =2 \text { years } \times € 2,780 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =€ 5,560
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: What is the savings for turning these lamps off an extra 4 hrs/day?

What type of control system would you recommend for turning off the 1,000 lamps? (Manual or automatic? Timers? Other sensors?)

Given: Profits, Inc., has a present policy of leaving all of its office lights on for the cleaning crew at night. The plant closes at 1800 hours, and the cleaning crew works from 1800 to 2200. After a careful analysis, the company finds it can turn off $1,00040 \mathrm{~W}$ fluorescent lamps at closing time. The remaining 400 lamps have enough light for the cleaning crew. Assume the company works 5 days/wk, $52 \mathrm{wks} / \mathrm{yr}$, and pays $€ 0.06 / \mathrm{kWh}$ and $€ 6 / \mathrm{kW}$ for electricity. Peaking hours for demand are 1300 to 1500 . Assume there is one ballast for every two lamps and the ballast adds $15 \%$ to the load of the lamps.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C S= & 1,000 \text { lamps } \times 40 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{lamp} \times \mathrm{kW} / 1,000 \mathrm{~W} \times 4 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{day} \times \\
& 5 \text { days } / \mathrm{wk} \times 52 \mathrm{wk} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.06 / \mathrm{kWh} \times 1.15 \\
= & € 2,870 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

I would recommend an automatic timer for the 1,000 lamps and possibly occupancy sensors for the other 400 lamps.

Problem: How much did it cost the company in extra charges not to have the lights on some kind of control system?

What type of control system would you recommend and why?

Given: In problem 9.2, assume that the plant manager has checked on the lighting situation and discovered that the cleaning crew does not always remember to turn the remaining lights off when they leave. In the past years, the lights have been left on overnight an average of twice a month. One of the times the lights were left on over a weekend.

Solution: The cost (C) from leaving the 400 lights on 8 hours a night for 23 nights a year and 56 hours on weekend:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C S= & 400 \text { lamps } \times 40 \mathrm{~W} / \text { lamp } \times \mathrm{kW} / 1,000 \mathrm{~W} \times(8 \mathrm{~h} / \text { day } \times \\
& 23 \text { days } / \mathrm{yr}+56 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr}) \times € 0.06 / \mathrm{kWh} \times 1.15 \\
= & € 265 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

I would recommend an automatic timer for the 1,000 lamps and possibly occupancy sensors for the other 400 lamps.

The timers would turn off the unnecessary lighting when even when the cleaning crew is working, and the occupancy sensors ensure the lights turn off when no one is present.

Problem: What is the savings in kilojoules for this setback?
How could this furnace setback be accomplished?
Given: Therms, Inc., has a large electric heat-treating furnace that takes considerable time to warm up. However, a careful analysis shows the furnace could be turned back from a normal temperature of $1,000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $425^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20$ hours/ week and be heated back up in time for production. The ambient temperature is $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the composite R -value of the walls and roof is 1.7 , and the total surface area is $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$.

Solution: $\quad q=\mathrm{U} \times \mathrm{A} \times \Delta \mathrm{T} \times$ time

$$
=1 / \mathrm{R} \times \mathrm{A} \times \Delta \mathrm{T} \times \text { time }
$$

$$
=(1 / 1.7) \mathrm{W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2 \circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \times 100 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times\left(1,000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-425^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \times
$$ $20 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{wk} \times 52 \mathrm{wk} / \mathrm{yr} \times(\mathrm{kJ} /(1,000 \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{~s})) \times 3,600 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{h}$

$$
=126,635,294 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{yr}
$$

This furnace setback could be accomplished with a programmable logic controller (PLC).

Problem: Obtain bin data for your region, and calculate the savings in kJ for a nighttime setback of $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from $19^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $11^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8$ hours per day (midnight to 0800)

Solution: If we assume the bin data yield 1,000 degree-days, then using Figure $9-1$ the savings if the setback occurred 24 hours a day would be $2,556 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$. Therefore, since $8 \mathrm{~h} /$ day is one-third of the time, the saving would be $852 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$.

Problem: What is the savings? Determine the SPP. Would you recommend it to the company?

Given: Petro Treatments has its security lights on timers. The company figures an average operating time of one hour per day can be saved by using photocell controls. The company has 100 mercury vapor lamps of 1,000 Watts each, and the lamp ballast increases the electric load by $15 \%$. The company pays $€ 0.06 / \mathrm{kWh}$. Assume there is no demand savings. The photocell controls cost $€ 10$ apiece and each lamp must have its own photocell. It will cost the company an average of $€ 15$ per lamp to install the photocells.

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C S= & 1000 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{lamp} \times 100 \mathrm{lamps} \\
& \times \mathrm{kW} / 1,000 \mathrm{~W} \times 1.15 \times 365 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.06 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
= & € 2,519 / \mathrm{yr} \\
S P P= & \mathrm{IC} / \mathrm{CS} \\
= & (€ 15+€ 10) / \mathrm{lamp} \times 100 \mathrm{lamps} / € 2,519 / \mathrm{yr} \\
= & 0.99 \text { years }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the payback period is less than one year, I would recommend this project.

Problem: Would you recommend this change? Why?
Given: CKT Manufacturing Company has an office area with a number of windows. The offices are presently lighted with 100 40-W fluorescent lamps. The lights are on about 3,000 hours each year, and CKT pays $€ 0.08$ per kWh for electricity. After measuring the lighting levels throughout the office area for several months, you have determined that $70 \%$ of the lighting energy could be saved if the company installed a lighting system with photo sensors and dimmable electronic ballasts and utilized daylighting whenever possible.
The new lighting system using 32-Watt T-8 lamps and electronic ballasts together with the photo sensors would cost about $€ 2,500$.

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CS}= & 40 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{lamp} \times 100 \mathrm{lamps} \times \mathrm{kW} / 1,000 \mathrm{~W} \times 1.15 \times \\
& 3,000 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.08 / \mathrm{kWh} \times 0.7 \\
= & € 773 / \mathrm{yr} \\
\mathrm{SPP}= & \mathrm{IC} / \mathrm{CS} \\
= & € 2,500 / € 773 / \mathrm{yr} \\
= & 3.23 \text { years }
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the payback period is less than five years, I would recommend this project.

## Chapter 10

## Maintenance

Problem: Based on this table, give a range of times for possible intervals for changing filters.

Given: In determining how often to change filters, an inclined tube manometer is installed across a filter. Conditions have been observed as follows:

| Week | Manometer reading | Filter condition |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.0 in water | Clean |
| 2 | 1.5 | Clean |
| 3 | 1.8 | A bit dirty |
| 4 | 2.0 | A bit dirty |
| 5 | 2.0 | A bit dirty |
| $6-9$ | 2.3 | Dirty |
| $10-13$ | 2.5 | Dirty |
| $14-18$ | 2.8 | Dirty |
| $19-23$ | 3.0 | Very Dirty |
| 24 | 3.3 | Plugged up: changed |

## Solution:

One possible interval for changing the filter is once every 14 to 18 weeks.

Problem: Calculate the standard time for filter cleaning.
Given: You have been keeping careful records on the amount of time taken to clean air filters in a large HVAC system. The time taken to clean 35 filter banks was an average of 18 $\mathrm{min} /$ filter bank and was calculated over several days with three different people: one fast, one slow, and one average. Additional time that must be taken into account includes personal time of 20 minutes every 4 hours. Setup time was not included. Assume that fatigue and miscellaneous delay have been included in the observed times.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ST}= & 35 \text { filter banks } \times 18 \mathrm{~min} / \text { filter bank } \times(1+20 \\
& \mathrm{min} / 240 \mathrm{~min}) / 35 \text { filter banks } \\
= & 19.5 \mathrm{~min} / \text { filter }
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: How many people could you have hired for the money you lost?

Given: Your company has suffered from high employee turnover and production losses, both attributed to poor maintenance (the work area was uncomfortable, and machines also broke down). Eight people left last year, six of them probably because of employee comfort. You estimate training costs as $€ 10,000$ per person. In addition, you had one 3 -week problem that probably would have been a 1-week problem if it had been caught in time. Each week cost approximately $€ 10,000$ All these might have been prevented if you had a good maintenance staff. Assume that each maintenance person costs $€ 25,000$ plus $€ 15,000$ in overhead per year.

Solution: The cost (C) due to poor maintenance conditions can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C= & \text { Six people lost due to poor maintenance }(\text { comfort }) \times \\
& € 10,000 / \text { person in training }+(3 \text { weeks }-1 \text { week }) \times \\
& € 10,000 / \text { week of downtime } \\
= & € 80,000
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the number of maintenance people ( N ) you could hire can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{N} & =\mathrm{C} /(\text { salary }+ \text { overhead }) \\
& =€ 80,000 /(€ 25,000+€ 15,000) / \text { person } \\
& =2 \text { maintenance people }
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: How large an annual gas bill is needed before adding a maintenance person for the boiler alone is justified if this person would cost $€ 40,000$ per year?

Given: A recent analysis of your boiler showed that you have 15\% excess combustion air. Discussion with the local gas company has revealed that you could use $5 \%$ combustion air if your controls were maintained better. This represents a calculated efficiency improvement of $2.3 \%$.

Solution: The annual gas bill required to pay for a maintenance person that would increase boiler efficiency by $2.3 \%$ can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { gas bill } & =€ 40,000 / \mathrm{yr} / 2.3 \% \\
& =€ 1,739,130.43 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: What annual amount would this improvement be worth considering energy costs only?

Given: Your steam distribution system is old and has many leaks. Presently, steam is being generated by a coal-fired boiler, and your coal bill for the boiler is $€ 600,000$ per year. A careful energy audit estimated that you were losing $15 \%$ of the generated steam through leaks and that this could be reduced to $2 \%$

Solution: $\quad$ CS $=(15 \%-2 \%) \times € 600,000 / \mathrm{yr}$

$$
=€ 78,000 / y r
$$

Problem: Group relamping is a maintenance procedure recommended in Chapter Five. Using data from Chapter Five, construct a graph which plots maintenance cost per hour and relamping interval expressed as a percentage of the lamps rated life against the total relamping cost. Can you construct such a graph that will provide the answer to the question whether group relamping is cost-effective for a particular company?

## Solution:

|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{I}=80 \%$ | $\mathrm{L}(/ \mathrm{lamp})=€ 0.85$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maintenance <br> Cost per hour <br> (€) <br> (H) | Relamping <br> Interval of rated life) <br> (I) | maintenance cost and (\% relamping interval $(\mathrm{H} \times \mathrm{I})$ | Relamping Cost per lamp (G) | Maintenance Cost per hour <br> (€) <br> (H) | Total spot relamping cost (€/lamp) (Cs) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total group } \\ \text { relamping } \\ \text { cost } \\ (€ / \operatorname{lamp}) \\ (\mathrm{Cg}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 10 |  | $€ 7.50$ | $€ 2.24$ | 10 | 5.85 | 2.10 |
| 15 | 75\% | €11.25 | €2.80 | 15 | 8.35 | 2.63 |
| 20 | 80\% | €15.00 | $€ 3.36$ | 20 | 10.85 | 3.15 |
| 25 | 85\% | €18.75 | $€ 3.91$ | 25 | 13.35 | 3.67 |
| 30 |  | €22.50 | $€ 4.47$ | 30 | 15.85 | 4.19 |
|  |  | € 8.00 | $€ 2.10$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | €12.00 | $€ 2.63$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | €16.00 | $€ 3.15$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | €20.00 | €3.67 |  |  |  |
|  |  | €24.00 | $€ 4.19$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | € 8.50 | $€ 1.98$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | €12.75 | $€ 2.47$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | €17.00 | $€ 2.96$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | €21.25 | $€ 3.45$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $€ 25.50$ | $€ 3.94$ |  |  |  |

10.6 Chart 2 constructed with $\mathrm{I}=80 \%$ and lamp cost of $€ 0.85$, in which case, with the given maintenance costs, it is always cost effective to group relamp. Also, assume maintenance time includes that it takes 30 minutes per lamp to spot relamp and 5 minutes per lamp to group relamp.



## Chapter 11

## Insulation

Problem: What is the heat loss per year in kJ? What is the cost of this heat loss?

Given: A metal tank made out of mild steel is 1.3 m in diameter, 2 m long, and holds water at 80 C . The tank holds hot water all the time is on a stand so all sides are exposed to ambient conditions at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The boiler supplying this hot water is $79 \%$ efficient and uses natural gas costing $€ 5 / \mathrm{GJ}$. Assume there is no air movement around the tank.

Solution: assume thickness of the tank is 1.25 cm
$\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right)$
45.34
$R\left(\left(m^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right)$
$0.00028 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{K}$
R surface
$0.08\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}$
U
$12.46 \mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$
T(amb)
$25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
T(inside)
$80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{piDH}+2 \mathrm{pir}^{2}$
$11 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$Q=U A \Delta T$
7,415 W
Hours per year (h) 8,760 h/yr
$q=Q h$
233,842,910 kJ/yr
c
€5.00 / GJ
eff
$79 \%$
$C=q c / e f f$
$€ 1,480.02 / y r$

Problem: Calculate the present worth of the proposed investment.
Given: Ace Manufacturing has an uninsulated condensate return tank holding pressurized condensate at 140 kPa saturated. The tank is 2.5 m in diameter and 1.3 m long. Management is considering adding 5 cm of aluminum-jacketed fiberglass at an installed cost of $€ 6.50$ per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$. The steam is generated by a boiler which is $78 \%$ efficient and consumes No. 2 fuel oil at $€ 7 / \mathrm{GJ}$. Energy cost will remain constant over the economic life of the insulation of 5 years. Ambient temperature is $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Rs is 0.06 for the uninsulated tank. The tank is used 8,000 h/yr.

## Solution:

| assume thickness of | nk is 1.25 | inch |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right)$ | 45.34 |  |
| $\mathrm{R}\left(\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right)$ | 0.00028 | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{K}$ |
| R surface | 0.06 | $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}$ |
| U | 16.59 | $\mathrm{W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| T(amb) | 20 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| T(inside) | 109 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{piDH}+2 \mathrm{pir}^{2}$ | 12 | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{UA} \Delta \mathrm{T}$ | 18,426 | W |
| Hours per year (h) | 8,000 | h/yr |
| $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{Qh}$ | 530,655,212 | kJ/yr |
| c | €7.00 | /GJ |
| eff | 78\% |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}=\mathrm{qc} / \mathrm{eff}$ | €4,762.29 | /yr |


|  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| assume thickness of the tank is | 1.25 | cm |
| $\mathrm{~K}\left(\mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right)$ | 45.34 |  |
| $\mathrm{R}\left(\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right)$ | 0.00028 | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{K}$ |
| R surface | 0.13 | $\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}$ |
| K insulation | 0.036 | $\mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| d insulation | 5 | cm |
| R insulation | 1.39 | $\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}$ |
| U | 0.66 | $\mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{amb})$ | 20 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{T}($ inside $)$ | 109 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| A $=$ piDH +2 pir ${ }^{2}$ | 12 | $\mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{UA} \Delta \mathrm{T}$ | 730 | W |
| Hours per year $(\mathrm{h})$ | 8,000 | $\mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
| q $=$ Qh |  |  |
|  | $21,028,118$ | $\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
| c |  |  |
| eff | $€ 7.00$ | $/ \mathrm{GJ}$ |
| Cf qc/eff | $78 \%$ |  |

Therefore, the annual cost savings (CS) is:

$$
\mathrm{CS}=\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Cf}=\quad € 4,573.58 / \mathrm{yr}
$$

Additionally, the implementation cost (IC) of the insulation installation is:
$\mathrm{IC}=\mathrm{A} \times € 6.50 / \mathrm{m}^{2}=\quad € 80.83$

Finally, the present worth (NPV) can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P} & =\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{P} \mid \mathrm{A}, 15 \%, 5]-\mathrm{IC} \\
& =€ 4,573.58[3.3522]-€ 80.83 \\
& =€ 15,250.71
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: What is the savings in euros and GJ?
Given: Your plant has 160 m of uninsulated hot water lines carrying water at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The pipes are 10 cm in nominal diameter. You decide to insulate these with $5-\mathrm{cm}$ calcium silicate snap-on insulation at $€ 10 / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ installed cost. The boiler supplying the hot water consumes natural gas at $€ 6 / \mathrm{GJ}$ and is $80 \%$ efficient. Ambient air is $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the lines are active 8,760 h/yr.

## Solution:

| assume thickness | 1.25 | cm |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~K}\left(\mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right)$ | 45.34 |  |
| $\mathrm{R}\left(\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right)$ | 0.00028 | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{K}$ |
| R surface | 0.08 | $\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}$ |
| U | 12.46 | $\mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{amb})$ | 27 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{inside})$ | 80 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{piDH}$ | 50 | $\mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{UA} \Delta \mathrm{T}$ | 33,187 | W |
| Hours per year $(\mathrm{h})$ | 8,760 | $\mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{qo}=\mathrm{Qh}$ | $1,046.57$ | $\mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
| c | $€ 6.00$ | $/ \mathrm{GJ}$ |
| eff | $80 \%$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Co}=\mathrm{qc} / \mathrm{eff}$ | $€ 7,849.27$ | $/ \mathrm{yr}$ |


|  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| assume thickness | 1.25 | cm |
| $\mathrm{~K}\left(\mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right)$ | 45.34 |  |
| $\mathrm{R}\left(\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right)$ | 0.00028 | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{K}$ |
| R surface | 0.08 | $\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}$ |
| K insulation | 0.06 | $\mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| d insulation | 6.82 | cm |
| R insulation | 1.18 | $\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}$ |
| U | 0.79 | $\mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{amb})$ | 27 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{inside})$ | 80 | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}=$ piDH | 104 | m |
| $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{UA} \Delta \mathrm{T}$ | 4,376 | $\mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Hours per year $(\mathrm{h})$ | 8,760 | $\mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
| qf $=\mathrm{Qh}$ |  |  |
|  | 138.01 | $\mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
| c |  |  |
| eff | $€ 6.00$ | $/ \mathrm{GJ}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cf}=\mathrm{qc} / \mathrm{eff}$ | $80 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |

Therefore, the annual cost savings (CS) is:

$$
\mathrm{CS}=\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Cf}=€ 6,814.21 / \mathrm{yr}
$$

Additionally, the amount of heat saved (ES) is:

$$
\mathrm{ES}=\mathrm{qo}-\mathrm{qf}=\quad 909 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{yr}
$$

Problem: What is the cost of heat loss and heat gain per $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ for a year?
Given: Given a wall constructed as shown in Figure 11-6. HDD are $2,000{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-days, while CDD are $1,000{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-days. Heating is by gas with a unit efficiency of 0.7 . Gas costs €6/GJ. Cooling is by electricity at $€ 0.06 / \mathrm{kWh}$ (ignore demand costs), and the cooling plant has a 2.5 seasonal COP.

Solution:

| n: | R | d | K <br> Layer |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right)$ | $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $\left((\mathrm{W}) /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right)$ |  |
| Outside film | 0.30 |  | 1.30 |
| Brick | 0.08 | 10 | 0.72 |
| Mortar | 0.02 | 1.2 | 0.79 |
| Block | 0.13 | 0 | 0.15 |
| Plaster board | 0.08 | 1.2 |  |
| inside film | $\underline{0.09}$ |  |  |
|  | $\underline{0.69}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{U}(1 / \mathrm{R})$ |  | $1.45 \mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2 \circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{A}= & \mathrm{U} \times \mathrm{DD} / \mathrm{yr} \times 24 \mathrm{~h} / \text { day } \\
\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{A} \text { heating }= & 1.45 \mathrm{~J} /\left(\mathrm{s} \mathrm{~m}^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \times 2,000{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { days } / \mathrm{yr} \times 24 \mathrm{~h} / \text { day } \\
& 3,600 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{h} \\
= & 251,247 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr} \\
\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{A} \text { cooling }= & 1.45 \mathrm{~J} /\left(\mathrm{s} \mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \times 2,000^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { days } / \mathrm{yr} \times 24 \mathrm{~h} / \text { day } \\
& 3,600 \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{h} \\
= & 125,623 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the cost of the heating loss (Ch) can be estimated as follows:
$\mathrm{Ch}=\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{A}$ heating $\times € 6 / \mathrm{GJ} / 0.7$
$=0.25 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 6 / \mathrm{GJ} / 0.7$
$=€ 2.15 / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$
Additionally, the cost of the cooling loss (Cc) can be estimated as follows:
$\mathrm{Cc}=\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{A}$ cooling $\times \mathrm{kWh} / 3,412 \mathrm{~W} \times € 0.06 / \mathrm{kWh} / 2.5$
$=125,623 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr} \times \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{s} \times(1 \mathrm{~h} / 3,600 \mathrm{~s})$ $\times € 0.06 / \mathrm{kWh} / 2.5$
$=€ 0.84 / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$
Finally, the total cost (C) is:
$\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{Ch}+\mathrm{Cc}$
$=€ 2.99 / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr}$

Problem: How much fiberglass insulation with a Kraft paper jacket is necessary to prevent condensation on the pipes?

Given: A $15-\mathrm{cm}$ pipe carries chilled water at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an atmosphere with a temperature of $32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a dew point of $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q} \text { total } & =\left(32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) /(\mathrm{Rp}+\mathrm{Ri}+\mathrm{Rs}) \\
& =\left(32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{Rs} \\
& =\left(30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{Ri} \\
\mathrm{Rs} & =0.09\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W} \\
\mathrm{Ri} & =26^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \times 0.09\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W} / 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& =1.21\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W} \\
\mathrm{di} & =\mathrm{Ri} \times \mathrm{K} \\
& =1.21\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W} \times 0.03605(\mathrm{~W}) /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\
& =4.37 \mathrm{~cm} \text { of fiberglass insulation }
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: What is the R -value of one of the walls with just a window? What is the R -value of the wall with the window and the door? What is the R-value of the roof? How many kJ must that air conditioner remove to keep the inside temperature at $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ? How many kWh of electric energy will be used in that one-hour period by the air conditioner?

Given: A building consists of fours walls that are each 3 m high and 7 m long. The wall is constructed of 10 cm of corkboard, with 2.5 cm of plaster on the outside and 1 cm of gypsum board on the inside. Three of the walls have $2 \times 1.25 \mathrm{~m}$, single-pane windows with $\mathrm{R}=0.1$. The fourth wall has a $2 \times 1.25 \mathrm{~m}$ window and a $1 \times 2 \mathrm{~m}$ door made of 2.5 cm thick softwood. The roof is constructed of 2 cm plywood with asphalt roll roofing over it. The inside temperature of the building is regulated to $26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by an air-conditioner operating with a thermostat. The air-conditioner has an SEER of 8 . The outside temperature is $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc} 
& & & \text { Total Area } \\
\text { Area win. } & \text { Area of } & \text { Area of } & \text { less door, less } \\
\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) & \text { door }\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) & \text { wall }\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) & \text { win. }\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

| wall with |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 window <br> wall with | 2.5 |  | 21 | 18.5 |
| and door <br> roof | 2.5 | 2 | 21 | 16.5 <br> 49 |



R-value of the wall with one window:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{R} \text {-value }= & 1 /[(1 / 2.76)(19 / 21)+(1 / 0.1)(2 / 21)] \\
& \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W} \\
= & 0.66\left(m^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}
\end{aligned}
$$

R -value of the wall with one window and one door:

$$
\text { R-value }=0.56\left(m^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / W
$$

R -value of the roof:

$$
\text { R-value }=0.29\left(m^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q} \text { lost }= & \mathrm{UA} \Delta \mathrm{~T} \\
= & \left(35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \times\left[\left(1 / 0.66\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right)\right. \\
& \times 21 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \text { wall } \times 3 \mathrm{walls} \\
& +\left(1 / 0.56\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right) \times 21 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \text { wall } \times 1 \text { wall } \\
& \left.+\left(1 / 0.29\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right) \times 49 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right] \\
= & 2,706 \mathrm{~W} \\
= & 2,706 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

q lost $=9,706 \mathrm{~kJ} \quad$ in the one hour

$$
\begin{aligned}
k W h & =9,740 \mathrm{~kJ} \times \mathrm{Wh} / 8.44 \mathrm{~kJ} \times \mathrm{kW} / 1,000 \mathrm{~W} \\
& =1.154 \mathrm{kWh}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: Repeat Problem 11.6 with the single-pane windows replaced with double-paned windows having an R -value of 0.16 .

## Solution:

$$
\begin{array}{cccc} 
& & \text { Total Area } \\
\text { Area win. } & \text { Area of } & \text { Area of } & \text { less door, less } \\
\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) & \text { door }\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) & \text { wall }\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right) & \text { win. }\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

| wall with |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 window <br> wall with win- <br> dow and door <br> roof | 2.5 |  | 21 | 18.5 |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{K} \\ \left((\mathrm{~W}) /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right) \end{gathered}$ | d (cm) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{K} \\ \left(\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right.\right. \\ \left.\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Wall w/o win and door | Window | Door | Roof |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corkboard | 0.04 | 10 | 2.57 | 2.57 |  |  |  |
| Plaster | 0.72 | 2.5 | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |  |  |
| Gypsum |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface film |  |  | 0.09 | 0.09 |  | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| Windows |  |  | 1.16 |  | 1.16 |  |  |
| Softwood | 0.12 | 2.5 | 1.22 |  |  | 1.22 |  |
| Plywood | 0.12 | 2 | 0.17 |  |  |  | 0.17 |
| asphalt roll roofing |  |  | 0.03 |  |  |  | 0.03 |
|  |  |  |  | 2.76 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.29 |

R-value of the wall with one window:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { R-value }= & 1 /[(1 / 2.76)(19 / 21)+(1 / 0.16)(2 / 21)] \\
& \left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W} \\
= & 0.94\left(m^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}
\end{aligned}
$$

R -value of the wall with one window and one door:

$$
\text { R-value }=0.75\left(m^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / W
$$

R -value of the roof:

$$
\text { R-value }=0.29\left(m^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q} \text { lost }= & \mathrm{UA} \Delta \mathrm{~T} \\
= & \left(35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \times\left[\left(1 / 0.94\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right)\right. \\
& \times 21 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \text { wall } \times 3 \text { walls } \\
& +\left(1 / 0.75\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right) \times 21 \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \text { wall } \times 1 \text { wall } \\
& \left.+\left(1 / 0.29\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right) \times 49 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right] \\
= & 2,368 \mathrm{~W} \\
= & 2,368 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

$q$ lost $=8,525 \mathrm{~kJ} \quad$ in the one hour

$$
\begin{aligned}
k W h & =8,525 \mathrm{~kJ} \times \mathrm{Wh} / 8.44 \mathrm{~kJ} \times \mathrm{kW} / 1,000 \mathrm{~W} \\
& =1.010 \mathrm{kWh}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: How many euros per year can be saved by insulating the end cap?
What kind of insulation would you select?
If that insulation cost $€ 300$ to install, what is the SPP for this EMO?

Given: While performing an energy audit at Ace Manufacturing Company you find that their boiler has an end cap not well insulated. The end cap is 2 m in diameter and 0.7 m long. You measure the temperature of the end cap as $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The temperature in the boiler room averages $32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the boiler is used $8,760 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr}$, and fuel for the boiler is $€ 6 / \mathrm{GJ}$. Assume boiler efficiency of $80 \%$.

## Solution:

| assume thickness of the end cap is | 5 cm |
| :--- | ---: |
| assume the end cap is made of mild steel |  |
| $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right)$ | 45.34 |
| $\mathrm{R}\left(\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right)$ | $0.00110 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{K}$ |
| R surface | $0.07\left(\mathrm{~m}^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}$ |
| U | $13.32 \mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{amb})$ | $32{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{inside})$ | $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}=$ piDH+ Cir |  |

I would use a mineral wool fiber.

$$
\begin{aligned}
S P P & =\mathrm{IC} / \mathrm{C} \\
& =€ 300 / € 2,090 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =0.14 \text { years }
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: What is the most cost effective solution between the two alternatives?

Given: Assume the tank in Problem 11.1 is a hot water tank that is heated with an electrical resistance element. If this were a hot water tank for a residence, it would probably come with an insulation level of R-7. A friend says that the way to save money on hot water heating is to put a timer or switch on the tank, and to turn it off when it is not being used. Another friend says that the best thing to do is to put another layer of insulation on the tank and not turn it off and on. Assume that there are four of you in the residence, and that you use an average of 80 litres of hot water each per day. Assume that you set the water temperature in the tank to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and that the water coming into the tank is $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. You have talked to an electrician, and she says that she will install a timer on your hot water heater for $€ 50$, or she will install an $\mathrm{R}-13$ water heater jacket around your present water heater for $€ 25$. Assume that the timer can result in saving three-fourths of the energy lost from the water heater when it is not being used. Electric energy costs $€ 0.08$ per kWh .

## Solution:

| $\mathrm{R}\left(\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right)$ | $7\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| U | $0.14 \mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{amb})$ | $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| T (inside) | $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}=$ piDH +2 pir $^{2}$ | $11 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{UA} \Delta \mathrm{T}$ | $54 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Hours per year (h) | $8,760 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
| $\mathrm{q}=$ Qh | $474 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
| c | $€ 0.08 / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| eff | $100 \%$ |
| Co $=\mathrm{qc} /$ eff | $€ 37.92 / \mathrm{yr}$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CS} \text { timer } & =75 \% \times \mathrm{Co} \\
& =75 \% \times € 37.92 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =€ 28.44 / \mathrm{yr} \\
\text { SPP timer } & =€ 50 / € 28.44 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =1.76 \text { years }
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\mathrm{R}\left(\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}\right)$ | $7\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| R blanket | $13\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) / \mathrm{W}$ |
| U | $0.05 \mathrm{~W} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{amb})$ | $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{T}($ inside $)$ | $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{A}=$ piDH+2 $\mathrm{pir}^{2}$ | $11 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Q}=$ UA delta T | $19 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Hours per year $(\mathrm{h})$ | $8,760 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
| $\mathrm{q}=$ Qh | $166 \mathrm{kWh} / \mathrm{yr}$ |
| c | $€ 0.08 / \mathrm{kWh}$ |
| eff | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathrm{Cf}=\mathrm{qc}$ |  |

Therefore, the annual cost savings (CS) from the jacket is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CS} & =\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{Cf}=€ 24.65 / \mathrm{yr} \\
\text { SPP timer } & =€ 25 / € 24.65 / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =1.01 \text { years }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, since the jacket costs less and saves about the same amount, install the jacket.

## Chapter 12

## Process Energy Management

Problem: If Crown Jewels buys a new motor, which one of these incentives should they ask for?

Given: Florida Electric Company offers financial incentives for large customers to replace their old electric motors with new, high efficiency motors. Crown Jewels Corporation, a large customer of FEC, has a 20 -year-old $75-\mathrm{kW}$ motor that they think is on its last legs, and they are considering replacing it. Their old motor is $91 \%$ efficient, and the new motor would be $95 \%$ efficient. FEC offers two different choices for incentives:
*Either $€ 8 / \mathrm{kW}$ (for the size motor considered) incentive or; *A € $150 / \mathrm{kW}$ (kW saved) incentive.

Solution: Assume a load factor of 0.6

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { P saved } & =75 \mathrm{~kW} \times 0.6 \times[(1 / 0.91)-(1 / 0.95)] \\
& =2.08 \mathrm{~kW} \\
€ \text { incentive for } \mathrm{kW} \text { saved } & =€ 312.32 \\
€ \text { incentive for size of motor } & =€ 600
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, they should ask for the $€ 8 / k W$ (size of motor) incentive.

Problem: What is the power factor of this motor?
Given: During an energy audit at the Orange and Blue Plastics Company you saw a $75-\mathrm{kW}$ electric motor that had the following information on the

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { nameplate: } & 460 \mathrm{v} \\
& 114 \mathrm{a} \\
3 & \text { phase } \\
& 95 \%
\end{array}
$$

Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~kW}) & =\mathrm{sq} \mathrm{rt}(3) \times \mathrm{v} \times \mathrm{i} \times \mathrm{pf} \times 0.95 \\
p f & =\mathrm{P} /(\mathrm{sq} \mathrm{rt}(3) \times \mathrm{v} \times \mathrm{i}) / 0.95 \\
& =75 \mathrm{~kW} /(\mathrm{sq} \mathrm{rt}(3) \times 0.460 \mathrm{kv} \times 114 \mathrm{a} \times 0.95 \\
& =0.869
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: Using the data in Table 12-1, determine whether Ruff should purchase the high efficiency model or the standard model motor?

Find the SPP, ROI, and BCR.
Given: Ruff Metal Company has just experienced the failure of a $15-\mathrm{kW}$ motor on a waste-water pump that runs about 3,000 hours a year.

Assume the new motor will last for 15 years and the company's investment rate is $15 \%$.

Solution: Assume energy cost (EC) €0.05 / kWh
Assume demand cost (DC) $€ 7.00 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo}$
Assume the motor load factor is 0.6
$\mathrm{DR}=15 \mathrm{~kW} \times 0.6 \times[(1 / 0.886)-(1 / 0.923)]$
$=0.41 \mathrm{~kW}$
Therefore, the cost savings (CS) from using the high-efficiency motor over the standard efficiency motor can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CS}= & \mathrm{DR} \times \mathrm{DC} \times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr}+\mathrm{DR} \times 3,000 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr} \times \mathrm{EC} \\
= & 0.41 \mathrm{~kW} \times € 7 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo} \times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr}+0.41 \mathrm{~kW} \\
& \times 3,000 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.05 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
= & € 95.29 / \mathrm{yr} \\
S P P= & \text { Cost premium } / \mathrm{CS} \\
= & € 186 / € 95.29 / \mathrm{yr} \\
= & 1.95 \text { years } \\
R O I= & 51 \% \\
B C R= & \mathrm{PV} \text { benefits } / \mathrm{PV} \text { cost } \\
= & € 557.17 / € 186 \\
= & 3.00
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, buying the high efficiency motor seems to be a good investment.

Problem: How would you estimate the amount of waste heat that could be recovered for use in heating wash water for metal parts?

Given: A rule of thumb for an air compressor is that only $10 \%$ of the energy the air compressor uses is transferred into the compressed air. The remaining $90 \%$ becomes waste heat. You have seen a $35-\mathrm{kW}$ air compressor on an audit of a facility, but you do not have any measurements of air flow rates or temperatures.

Solution: Assume that the motor efficiency is $91.5 \%$. Assume that the compressor motor load factor is 0.6 . Additionally, assume that $80 \%$ of the waste heat can be recovered. Therefore, one can calculate the amount of waste heat available as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Q} & =\text { lf } \times \mathrm{P} \times 90 \% \times 80 \% / 91.5 \% \\
& =0.6 \times 35 \mathrm{~kW} \times 90 \% \times 80 \% / 91.5 \% \\
& =17 \mathrm{~kW} \\
& =17 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{s} \\
& =520,525 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: How much would this load shifting save Orange and Blue Plastics on their annual electric costs?

Given: Orange and Blue Plastics has a $110-\mathrm{kW}$ fire pump that must be tested each month to insure its availability for emergency use. The motor is $93 \%$ efficient, and must be run 30 minutes to check its operations. The facility pays $€ 7 / \mathrm{kW}$ for its demand charge and $€ 0.05 / \mathrm{kWh}$ for energy. During your energy audit visit to Orange and Blue, you were told that they check out the fire pump during the day (which is their peak time), once a month. You suggest that they pay one of the maintenance persons an extra $€ 50$ a month to come in one evening a month to start up the fire pump and run it for 30 minutes.

## Solution:

Assume the motor load factor is 0.6

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{DR} & =110 \mathrm{~kW} \times 0.6 \times 1 / 0.93 \\
& =70.97 \mathrm{~kW}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the electric cost savings (CS) from load shifting can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C S & =\mathrm{DR} \times \mathrm{DC} \times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =70.97 \mathrm{~kW} \times € 7 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo} \times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr} \\
& =€ 5,961.29 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

It would cost $€ 600 / \mathrm{yr}$ in extra labor cost. Therefore, the total annual savings of over $€ 5,300$ makes this look like a good EMO.

Problem: What is the implied efficiency of a motor if we say its load is 1 kW per kW ?

What is the implied COP of an air conditioner that has a load of 1 kW per tonne?

Given: Our "rules of thumb" for the load of a motor and air conditioner have implicit assumptions on their efficiencies.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { eff }= & 1 \mathrm{~kW} / 1 \mathrm{~kW} \\
= & 100 \% \\
\mathrm{COP}= & 1 \text { tonne } / \mathrm{kW} \times 12,660 \mathrm{~kJ} / \text { tonne }-\mathrm{h} \times \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{s} \\
& \times 1 \mathrm{~h} / 3,600 \mathrm{~s} \\
= & 3.52
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: Even though the motor is expected to last another five years, you think that the company might be better off replacing the motor with a new high-efficiency model. Provide an analysis to show whether this is a cost-effective suggestion.

Given: During an audit trip to a wood products company, you note that they have a $35-\mathrm{kW}$ motor driving the dust collection system. You are told that the motor is not a high efficiency model, and that it is only 10 years old. The dust collection system operates 6,000 hours each year.

## Solution:

Since cost premiums range from $10 \%$ to $30 \%$, we use $20 \%$ to calculate the cost of the high efficiency motor from the premium column in Table 12-1. Therefore, the cost of a 35kW high-efficiency motor is 5 times €469: € 2,345

Assume energy cost (EC) €0.05 / kWh
Assume demand cost (DC) $€ 7.00 \quad / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo}$
Assume the motor load factor is 0.6
$\mathrm{DR}=35 \mathrm{~kW} \times 0.6 \times[(1 / 0.915)-(1 / 0.938)]$

$$
=0.56 \mathrm{~kW}
$$

Therefore, the cost savings (CS) from using the high-efficiency motor over the standard efficiency motor can be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CS}= & \mathrm{DR} \times \mathrm{DC} \times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr}+\mathrm{DR} \times 6,000 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr} \times \mathrm{EC} \\
= & 0.6 \mathrm{~kW} \times € 7 / \mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{mo} \times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr}+0.6 \mathrm{~kW} \\
& \times 6,000 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.05 / \mathrm{kWh} \\
= & € 216.10 / \mathrm{yr} \\
S P P= & \mathrm{Cost} / \mathrm{CS} \\
= & € 2,345 / € 216.10 / \mathrm{yr} \\
= & 10.9 \text { years } \\
R O I= & 5.28 \% \\
N P V= & (€ 998.35) \text { assuming a MARR of } 15 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it seems to be a bad project to change the motor now.
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## Chapter 13

## Renewable Energy Sources and Water Management

Problem: How many litres of water would be required to store 1 GJ?
Given: In designing a solar thermal system for space heating, it is determined that water will be used as a storage medium. Assuming the water temperature can vary from $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ up to $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{Mc} \Delta \mathrm{~T}) \\
& \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{c} \Delta \mathrm{~T}) \\
&\left.=1 \mathrm{GJ} /\left(4.184 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \times\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right) \\
&=6,829 \mathrm{~kg} \\
&=6,842 \mathrm{~L} \\
&\left(998 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \\
&\left(1 / 0.001 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: Design the necessary array but neglect any voltage-regulating or storage device.

Given: In designing a system for photovoltaics, cells producing 0.5 volts and 1 ampere are to be used. The need is for a small dc water pump. Drawing 12 volts and 3 amperes.

## Solution:

Three branches with 24 cells in each branch:


Problem: Calculate the annual water savings (litres and euros) and annual energy savings (GJ and euros) if the water could be used as boiler makeup water.

Given: A once-through water cooling system exists for a $75-\mathrm{kW}$ air compressor. The flow rate is twelve $1 / \mathrm{min}$. Water enters the compressor at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and leaves at $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Water and sewage cost $€ 0.40 / 1,000$ litres and energy costs $€ 5 / \mathrm{GJ}$. Assume the water cools to $32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before it can be used and flows 8,760 h/yr.

Solution: Assume the efficiency of the heating system is $70 \%$.

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\mathrm{V}= & 12 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{min} \times 60 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{h} \times 8,760 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{yr} \\
= & 6,307,200 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{yr} & \left(998 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \\
\mathrm{m}= & 6,294,586 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{yr} \quad\left(1 / 0.001 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right) \\
\mathrm{CS}= & 6,307,200 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{yr} \times € 0.40 / 1,000 \mathrm{l} \\
& +6,294,586 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{yr} \times 4.184 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& \times\left(32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \times € 5 / \mathrm{GJ} / 0.7 \\
= & € 5,156.53 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{array}
$$

Problem: What is the net annual savings if the sawdust is burned?
Given: A large furniture plant develops 10 tonnes of sawdust ( $6,000 \mathrm{~kJ} /$ tonne) per day that is presently hauled to the landfill for disposal at a cost of $€ 10$ /tonne. The sawdust could be burned in a boiler to develop steam for plant use. The steam is presently supplied by a natural gas boiler operating at $78 \%$ efficiency. Natural gas costs $€ 5 /$ GJ. Sawdust handling and in-process storage costs for the proposed system would be $€ 3$ /tonne. Maintenance of the equipment will cost an estimated $€ 10,000$ per year. The plant operates 250 days/yr.

Solution: Assume the efficiency of the sawdust burning boiler is 70\% of the efficiency of the natural gas burning boiler.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{m}= & 10 \text { tonnes } / \mathrm{day} \times 250 \text { day } / \mathrm{yr} \\
= & 2,500 \text { tonnes } / \mathrm{yr} \\
\mathrm{CS}= & 2,500 \text { tonnes } / \mathrm{yr} \times(0.7 \times 6,000 \mathrm{~kJ} / \text { tonne } \\
& \times € 5 / \mathrm{GJ} \\
& +(€ 10 / \text { tonne }-€ 3 / \text { tonne }))-€ 10,000 / \mathrm{yr} \\
= & € 7,552.50 / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: At 40 degree N latitude, how many square feet of solar collectors would be required to produce each month of the energy content of
a) one barrel ( 160 l ) of crude oil?
b) one tonne of coal?
c) $10 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of natural gas?

Solution: Using Table 13-1, look up the data for 40 degree N latitude averages:
6.8 GJ/m²/yr

Assume that the efficiency of the cell is 0.7 the efficiency of the present fuel
a) $\mathrm{A}=5,100,000 \mathrm{~kJ} /$ barrel of crude oil $/ \mathrm{mo}$

$$
\times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr} / 6.8 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr} 0.7
$$

$$
=128 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
$$

b) $\mathrm{A}=29,079,383 \mathrm{~kJ} /$ tonne of coal/mo

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr} / 6.8 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr} / 0.7 \\
= & 73 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

c) $\mathrm{A}=372,528 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ of nat. gas $/ \mathrm{mo}$

$$
\times 12 \mathrm{mo} / \mathrm{yr} / 6.8 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{yr} / 0.7
$$

$$
=0.9 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
$$

Problem: Determine whether Munich, Dublin, or Bern has the greatest amount of solar energy per square metre of collector surface?

Given: Use Table 13.1. Assume each collector is mounted at the optimum tilt angle for that location.

## Solution: Average Daily Radiation ( $\mathrm{kJ} /$ day $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ )

City Slope Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Dublin hor $\quad 6564 \quad 99031500216978 \quad 2145222622 \quad 23451 \quad 201461601211413656395769$ $30 \quad 1152714854191241819312085021043222472078218965162051068610686$ $40 \quad 126511581919612178181982819749209862011219079170571158311833$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllll}50 & 13446 & 16376 & 19612 & 17057 & 18420 & 18102 & 19328 & 18999 & 18749 & 17477 & 12185 & 12674\end{array}$ vert 13048 1452S 1505810823100969358101071123113310148651147012594 Average Monthly Radiation $1 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+072 \mathrm{E}+072 \mathrm{E}+072 \mathrm{E}+072 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+075 \mathrm{E}+079 \mathrm{E}+06$

Total ( $\mathrm{kJ} /$ day $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ )

197,285,045

## Average Daily Radiation ( $\mathrm{kJ} /$ day $/ \mathrm{m}^{2}$ )

City Slope Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec
Bern hor 8949108341402517239187951854517455174091602414945116298279 $30 \quad 120491319615399169781702316217155471653516921182161592211459$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllll}40 & 12560 & 13423 & 15206 & 16171 & 15774 & 14865 & 14343 & 15569 & 16478 & 18465 & 16626 & 12015\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}50 & 12776 & 13332 & 14672 & 15036 & 14264 & 13287 & 12912 & 14298 & 15683 & 18284 & 16921 & 12288\end{array}$ vert $107210209 \quad 9619 \begin{array}{llllllllll}8165 & 6802 & 6201 & 6223 & 7348 & 9573 & 13503 & 14082 & 10550\end{array}$ Average Monthly Radiation $1 \mathrm{E}+079 \mathrm{E}+061 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+079 \mathrm{E}+06$

## Average Daily Radiation ( $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{day} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ )

City Slope Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec $\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}\text { Munich } & \text { hor } & 5803 & 8279 & 12242 & 15217 & 19737 & 20862 & 20737 & 17773 & 14252 & 9948 & 6053 & 4974\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllll}30 & 9426 & 11595 & 14911 & 16058 & 19045 & 19317 & 19556 & 18091 & 16455 & 13446 & 9289 & 8358\end{array}$ $40 \quad 102211219715138156601807918113184311744316467140149971 \quad 9119$


Average Monthly Radiation $8 \mathrm{E}+068 \mathrm{E}+061 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+072 \mathrm{E}+072 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+071 \mathrm{E}+077 \mathrm{E}+067 \mathrm{E}+06$

## Therefore, Dublin has greatest amount of solar energy per square metre of collector surface.

Problem: How many litres of gasoline is this?
Using the maximum contents shown in Table 13-15, how many kilograms of corn cobs would it take to equal the gasoline needed to run the car for one year? Rice hulls? Dirty solvent?

Given: A family car typically consumes about 70 GJ per year in fuel.

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V & =70 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{yr} \times 11 \text { gasoline } / 34,838 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& =2009.3 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Rice hulls:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & =70 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{yr} \times \mathrm{kg} / 15,118 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& =4,630 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Corn cobs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & =70 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{yr} \times \mathrm{kg} / 19,304 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& =3,626 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dirty solvent:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m & =70 \mathrm{GJ} / \mathrm{yr} \times \mathrm{kg} / 37213 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& =4,375 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{yr}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: Determine the power outputs in Watts per square metre for a good wind site and an outstanding wind site as defined in Section 13.5.

Solution: Assume 50\% efficiency Assume dry air

$$
\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{A}=0.5 \times \text { eff } \times \text { density of air } \times \text { velocity }{ }^{3}
$$

Good site: $V=5.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P / A & =0.5 \times 50 \% \times 1.204 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \times(5.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})^{3} \\
& =59.06 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Outstanding site: $V=8.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P / A= & 0.5 \times 50 \% \times 1.204 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \times(8.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})^{3} \\
& 184.40 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: How much difference-in percent-is there between the two sites in Problem 13-9?

## Solution:

$$
\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{A}=0.5 \times \text { density of air } \times \text { velocity }^{3}
$$

Good site: $V=5.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{A} & =0.5 \times 50 \% \times 1.204 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \times(5.8 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})^{3} \\
& =59.06 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Outstanding site: $\mathrm{V}=8.493 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{A} & =0.5 \times 50 \% \times 1.204 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \times(8.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})^{3} \\
& =184.40 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2} \\
\% \text { difference } & =(\text { outstanding }- \text { good }) / \text { outstanding } \\
& =68 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\% \text { difference } & =(\text { outstanding }- \text { good }) / \text { good } \\
& =212 \%
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Supplemental

Problem: What is the load factor?
Given: A three-phase $50-\mathrm{kW}$ motor draws 27 amps at 480 volts.
It is $92 \%$ efficient and has a reactive power of 10 kVAR .

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Apparent power } & =\left(3^{0.5}\right) \times \mathrm{v} \times \mathrm{i} \\
& =\left(3^{0.5}\right) \times 480 \mathrm{~V} \times 27 \mathrm{amps} \\
& =22.45 \mathrm{kVA} \\
\text { Reactive power } & =10 \mathrm{kVAR} \\
\text { sin (theta) } & =10 \mathrm{kVAR} / 22.45 \mathrm{kVA} \\
\text { theta } & =0.462 \\
\mathrm{pf} & =\cos \text { (theta) } \\
& =0.895 \\
\text { Real power } & =\left(3^{0.5}\right) \times \mathrm{v} \times \mathrm{i} \times \mathrm{pf} \\
& =20.10 \mathrm{~kW} \text { which is the power actually used } \\
\text { Rated power } & =50 \mathrm{~kW} \times 0.92 \\
& =54.35 \mathrm{~kW} \\
\text { Load factor } & =20.1 \mathrm{~kW} / 54.35 \mathrm{~kW} \\
& =37.0 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: Compute the monthly facility electric load factor (FLF)

Given: | Peak kW | 1,250 | kW |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | Energy use | 500,000 | kWh |
|  | Time | 720 | hours |

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { FLF } & =\text { Actual } \mathrm{kWh} \text { used } /(\text { peak } \mathrm{kW} \times \text { time }) \\
& =500,000 \mathrm{kWh} /(1,250 \mathrm{~kW} \times 720 \text { hours }) \\
& =55.56 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Problem: How much does it cost to cool 1 million $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ of air from $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $70 \%$ relative humidity to $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $95 \%$ relative humidity? (AC COP is 2.7 and electricity costs $€ 0.10 / \mathrm{kWh}$ )

## Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathrm{h}= & (119-54) \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{kg} \\
= & 65 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg} \\
\text { Cost }= & \left(10^{6} \mathrm{~m}^{3}\right) \times\left(1.204 \mathrm{kgs} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right) \times(65 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}) \\
& \times(\mathrm{kW} / \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{s} \times 1 \mathrm{~h} / 3600 \mathrm{~s} / 2.7 \times(€ 0.1 / \\
\mathrm{kWh}) \quad & € 805.14
\end{aligned}
$$

